

COLOURED ISOMORPHISM OF CLASSIFIABLE  $C^*$ -ALGEBRAS

by

Jeffrey Im

A thesis submitted in conformity with the requirements  
for the degree of Doctor of Philosophy

Department of Mathematics  
University of Toronto

© Copyright 2022 by Jeffrey Im

Coloured Isomorphism of Classifiable  $C^*$ -algebras

Jeffrey Im

Doctor of Philosophy

Department of Mathematics

University of Toronto

2022

## **Abstract**

It is shown that the coloured isomorphism class of a unital, simple,  $\mathcal{Z}$ -stable, separable amenable  $C^*$ -algebra satisfying the Universal Coefficient Theorem (UCT) is determined by its tracial simplex. This is a joint work with George A. Elliott.

## Acknowledgements

George, it has been a privilege being your student. Thank you for your encouragement, generosity with finances and time, and perspective. This thesis would not have been possible without your patient mentorship and support.

I am fortunate to have been in the company of my roommates and their girlfriends. Thank you for being an inspiration to me, entertaining my stupid ideas, cooking with me, pressuring me to visit Russia with you guys, and showing me how to live. To the families of Eduard, Katia, and Petr, thank you for your hospitality. Ben Briggs, thank you for teaching me how to make risotto, for making me aware of various American oddities, and for bringing us under one roof. Leonid Monin and Lisa Vasiljewa, thank you for your humor, thoughtfulness, and kindness. The acorn will always remind me of you two. Ozgur Esentepe and Larissa Richards, thank you for bringing us together with the homemade seminars, for finding our wonderful place, for thinking about which room to assign me to, and for being the most normal person in our house. Stefan Dawydiak, thank you for loyalty to AdIMOM, for being a walking encyclopedia, and for seeing the best in others. Vanya Telpukhovskiy and Virginia Pedreira, thank you for your lightheartedness. Eduard Duryev and Katia Zorich, thank you for the contagious liveliness you brought to our house, and for forcing us to go out and stop being boring. Anya Minervina and Petr Pushkar, thank you for coming over to our house every day, for letting me come over to do laundry, and for putting up with me wanting to go to every coffee shop in Moscow and St. Petersburg. Thank you for introducing me to some of your awesome friends: Fima Abrikosov, Phil Engel, Martin Leguil, Matt Bainbridge, Selim Ghazouani, Florent Ygouf, Artur Avila, Nikon Kurnosov, Eggie and Mareike Megerle.

Ilijas Farah and Eckhard Meinrenken, thank you for being a part of my supervisory committee and for helpful comments and encouragement. Henry Kim and Robert McCann, thank you for agreeing to attend my defense as non-supervisory

committee members. Dror Bar-Natan, thank you for your insights about teaching and for showing me leniency as undergraduate chair when I carelessly caused some trouble. Almut Burchard, thank you for teaching me analysis, and for being a helpful and welcoming graduate chair. Marie, Marija, Jemima, and Sonja, thank you for being available to help and for making the department a better place.

Cathn, David; Mandy, Moon, Rianne, Wilson; Alexis, Haley, Will; Carl, Hajro, Rachel; Brennan, Colin, Eric, Helen, Jason, Jeff, Jing, Kevin, Kelvin, Lawrence, Morris, Tim; Josh, Oliver, Quang, Rus, Ryan, Will; Anthony, Ben, Brandon, Cole, Kevin, Diana, Imran, Jack, Jon, Nathan, Sam, Tamir, thank you for the adventures and interesting discussions.

I benefitted greatly from advice, discussions, and encouragement from people I met at conferences and workshops; postdocs and visitors I met during George's seminar; and George's students. Thank you, Alessandro, Andrea, Brent, Charles, Chris, Dave, Ehsaan, Eusebio, Georgios, Ian, Jorge, Karen, Konrad, Martino, Mitsuru, Nigel, Noah, Rasmus, Sam, Scott, Stuart, Thomas; Ilan Hirschberg, Chris Schafhauser, Rufus Willett, Bhishan Jacelon, Zhichao Liu, Qingnan An, and Xuanlong Fu; and Aaron Tikuisis, Alin Ciuperca, Andrew Dean, Andrew Toms, Danny Hay, Feodor Kogan, James Lutley, Kris Coward, Leonel Robert, Luis Santiago, Mihai Alboiu, Nicola Watson, and Zhuang Niu.

My time as a graduate student was made better in large part because of people I met through the department. Thank you, Nicholas Hoell and Jonathan Korman; Arik Shao, Grigorios Fournodavlos, Mustazee Rahman, Vincent Gelinias, Krishan Rajaratnam, Adam Gardner, Artane Siad, Dan Fusca, Mario Palasciano, Boris Lishak, Jack Klys, John Yang, Chia-Cheng Liu, Jihad Zerouali, Val Chiche-Lapierre, Roger Bai, Jeff Carlson, Justin Martel, Thad Janisse, Peter Crooks, Tyler Holden, Parker Glynn-Adey, Nikita Nikolaev, Beatriz Navarro Lamenda, Tomas Kojar, Khoa Pham, Jessica Qi, Huan Vo, Steve Amelotte, Mykola Matvichuk, Iva Halacheva, Yiannis Loizides,

Abhishek Oswal, Zhifei Zhu, and Brandon Hanson; and Eva Politou, Lemonte Alie-Lamarche, Marios Apetroaie, Valia Liontou, Ilia Kirillov, Reila Zheng, Peter Angelinos, Dinushi Munasinghe, Lennart Doppenschmitt, Shuyang Shen, Chris Kennedy, Sina Zabanfahm, and Pavel Shlykov. Aaron Crichton, Andrew Colinet, Anne Dranovski, Arthur Korneychuk, Chiara Moraglia, Chris Adkins, Danny Cao, Elliot Cheung, Francis Bischoff, Gaurav Patil, John Enns, Li Chen, Matt Sourisseau, Max Klambauer, Sasha Mangerel, and Yuan Yuan Zheng, thank you for being a supportive and fun cohort. Ethan Jaffe, thank you for fun conversations and your friendship. It was nice meeting your parents and your cat.

I would not have pursued graduate school if it weren't for the support I received from my friends and mentors in college. Sal Lombardo, thank you for looking out for me, and interesting conversations. Lindsay Martin, thank you for working with me all the time in our courses, and your friendship. Kevin Carlson, thank you for telling me about the existence of  $C^*$ -algebras, non-commutative geometry, George, and Ilijas after you discovered them during a summer at the Fields Institute. Greg Kattner, thank you for your course and discussions which led to my interest in math and for introducing me to the undergraduate chair so that I could start taking fun courses. Kent Orr, thank you for giving me ample warning about what I was getting myself into and agreeing to take me on despite my inadequate background at the time, and the generous support you gave me. Your linear algebra course played a large part in determining the field I chose to go into. Chris Connell, thank you for the effort you put into your multivariate calculus class, encouragement, and guidance throughout college. Bob Niichel, thank you for meeting with me every week to chat about math and other things. Michael Larsen, thank you for your patience while teaching algebra to us mere mortals. David Hoff, thank you for teaching me analysis and for encouragement when I was applying to grad school.

Carole, Rand, Iwan, Justine, Aila's family, Elijah's family, and EJ's family, thank

you for your generosity and hospitality. EJ and Ryan, thank you for interesting discussions. Josh and Kyung, thank you for being good role models for me, and for the time and effort you put in for us. Dennis and Judy, thank you for your patience while teaching me, all of the advice and encouragement you gave me after I left Seattle, and continuing to look out for me. David, Jonathan, Isaac, and Yon, thank you for the adventures and pushing me to improve. Thank you, Cindy, Sang, Katherine, and Frank, for your generosity, hospitality, and being there for our family during difficult times.

I thank my parents and my grandparents for their efforts raising me according to their values. Dad, thank you for introducing me to many of your interests. Mom, thank you for supporting me. Toby, thank you for being the example I should have been as the older brother. Grandma, thank you for your generosity, selflessness, hard work, and amazing cooking. Grandpa, thank you for your candidness, humor, and wisdom. Aunt and uncle, thank you for your generosity, hospitality, and moving out of your way a number of times to be in close proximity to us. Cindy, thank you for seeing the best in me and supporting me.

# Contents

<b>1</b>	<b>Introduction</b>	<b>8</b>
<b>2</b>	<b>Preliminaries</b>	<b>17</b>
	Order zero maps . . . . .	17
	Ultrapowers . . . . .	20
	Traces and finiteness . . . . .	21
	Coloured isomorphism . . . . .	24
	The Cuntz category . . . . .	25
	Embedding theorems . . . . .	32
	Uniqueness theorems . . . . .	33
<b>3</b>	<b>Functionals preserving compact containment</b>	<b>35</b>
<b>4</b>	<b>Coloured Classification of <math>C^*</math>-algebras</b>	<b>40</b>
	<b>Bibliography</b>	<b>55</b>

# Chapter 1

## Introduction

Approximate intertwining have played a significant role in the classification theory of  $C^*$ -algebras (e.g., [8], [25], [54], [33], [28], [26], [45], [53], [43], [21], [36], [46], [29], [38], [39], [31], [37]). A related notion called  $n$ -coloured isomorphism, which specializes to an approximate intertwining in the case of a single colour, was considered by Jorge Castillejos in [11]. There it is shown that any two (unital) classifiable  $C^*$ -algebras (i.e., those satisfying the hypotheses of Theorem 4.5) with at most one trace are 2-coloured isomorphic, and it is posed as a question whether any two such  $C^*$ -algebras with isomorphic tracial simplices are  $n$ -coloured isomorphic. We show that this question has an affirmative answer using a somewhat modified notion, which we shall simply refer to as coloured isomorphism.

Let us begin by giving context for the notion from [11] and comparing the approaches taken there and here. In fact, much of the original strategy is retained in this paper, so let us outline the proof of the main result, the finite case considered in Theorem 4.5, and mention the differences with [11] along the way. Throughout, let  $\omega$  be a fixed free ultrafilter on the natural numbers. Two unital  $C^*$ -algebras  $A$  and  $B$  were said in [11] to be  *$n$ -coloured isomorphic* if there exist c.p.c. order zero maps  $\varphi : A \rightarrow B$  and  $\psi : B \rightarrow A$ , and unitaries  $u_1, \dots, u_n \in A_\omega$  and  $v_1, \dots, v_n \in B_\omega$ , such

that

$$\sum_{k=1}^n u_k \psi \varphi(a) u_k^* = a \quad \text{and} \quad \sum_{k=1}^n v_k \varphi \psi(b) v_k^* = b$$

for all  $a \in A$  and all  $b \in B$ . The present notion, coloured isomorphism, on the other hand, has order zero maps at the level of ultrapowers  $A_\omega$  and  $B_\omega$  (and so includes the case that the ultrapower maps are induced by a sequence of order zero maps at the level of the algebras  $A$  and  $B$ ); and the  $u_i$  (resp.  $v_i$ ) are contractions (not just unitaries) such that the absolute values squared add up to the identity of  $A_\omega$  (resp.  $B_\omega$ ) (rather than a multiple of this). (We also assume that traces on the ultrapower determined by a single trace on the algebra are preserved.)

While the earlier notion,  $n$ -coloured isomorphism, preserves the tracial cone, up to isomorphism, the present notion preserves the tracial simplex, up to isomorphism (Theorem 4.1). We note that isomorphism of tracial cones coincides with (a multiple of) tracial simplex isomorphism in the cases considered in [11] (at most one trace). Our definition is formulated in terms of coloured equivalence for order zero maps, which has its origins in the  $\mathcal{Z}$ -stable implies finite nuclear dimension direction of the Toms-Winter conjecture, having first appeared in [48] and later more definitively in [62] and [7]. (That of [11] is not explicitly based on coloured equivalence of maps.)

Now let  $A$  and  $B$  be finite classifiable  $C^*$ -algebras with isomorphic tracial simplices. In order to show that  $A$  and  $B$  are coloured isomorphic, we must construct order zero maps  $\varphi : A_\omega \rightarrow B_\omega$  and  $\psi : B_\omega \rightarrow A_\omega$  such that  $\psi \varphi \iota_A$  is coloured equivalent to  $\iota_A$  where  $\iota_A$  is the canonical embedding of  $A$  into  $A_\omega$ , and likewise for  $\varphi \psi \iota_B$  and  $\iota_B$ . By the finite order zero uniqueness theorem of [7], which was later extended to remove restrictions on the tracial simplices in [12], it is sufficient to show that (in the notation of Corollary 2.3)  $\tau(\psi \varphi)^n = \tau$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  and all  $\tau \in T(A_\omega)$ , and that  $\tau(\varphi \psi)^n = \tau$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  and all  $\tau \in T(B_\omega)$ . Since  $(\psi \varphi)^n = \psi^n \varphi^n$  and  $(\varphi \psi)^n = \varphi^n \psi^n$ , by Corollary 2.4, these tracial identities imply that  $\varphi^n$  and  $\psi^n$  also (for each  $n$ ) induce mutually inverse isomorphisms of  $T(A_\omega)$  and  $T(B_\omega)$ . In fact, the order zero maps we

construct will induce the same mutually inverse isomorphisms of tracial simplices for each  $n$ .

Roughly speaking,  $\varphi$  is constructed with a sequence of maps at the level of the algebras  $A$  and  $B$  which factor through a fixed AF algebra  $D$  via a fixed embedding  $\alpha_A$ . Furthermore,  $\alpha_A$  is chosen so that it induces an isomorphism of the tracial simplices  $T(D)$  and  $T(A)$ . This is obtained from the recently established homomorphism theorem of [39]. Such embeddings are now also known to exist outside the classification setting (i.e., without the assumption of  $\mathcal{Z}$ -stability), building on ideas from [63] and [64]. Lastly, the maps  $\varphi_k$  into  $B$  from the AF algebra  $D$  are chosen to have prescribed tracial data. More specifically, given a faithful trace  $\mu_k$  on  $C_0(0, 1]$  and an affine map  $\Phi : T(B) \rightarrow T(D)$ ,  $\varphi_k$  is an order zero map satisfying the identity

$$\tau\varphi_k^n = \mu_k(t^n)\Phi(\tau) \quad (*)$$

for each  $k, n \in \mathbb{N}$  and each  $\tau \in T(B)$ . (But, for obvious reasons, with  $\mu_k(t^n) \rightarrow 1$  for each  $n$ .) This is the content of Theorem 4.3.

Let us show how the desired order zero maps  $\varphi$  and  $\psi$  can be constructed from here. Let  $\Phi$  be an isomorphism of the tracial simplices  $T(B)$  and  $T(D)$ , which exists since  $T(A)$  is assumed to be isomorphic to  $T(B)$ , by hypothesis, and  $D$  was chosen so that  $T(D)$  is isomorphic to  $T(A)$ ; and let  $\mu_k$  be a sequence of faithful traces on  $C_0(0, 1]$  such that  $\lim_{k \rightarrow \omega} \mu_k(t^n) = 1$  for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , where  $t$  denotes the identity map on  $(0, 1]$ . (It is enough that  $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \mu_k(t) = 1$ .) Then with  $\varphi_k : D \rightarrow B$  satisfying  $(*)$ , and with  $\varphi : A_\omega \rightarrow B_\omega$  the order zero map induced by the sequence  $(\varphi_k\alpha_A)$ , we have

$$\tau\varphi^n = \tau((\varphi_k\alpha_A)^n) = \tau(\varphi_k^n\alpha_A)$$

for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  and for each trace  $\tau \in T(B_\omega)$  with Corollary 2.4 having been used at the last equality. In order to make use of the fact that  $\mu_k$  levels out moments,

we will need a reduction which was observed in [50]: The limit traces in  $T(B_\omega)$  (i.e., those which are induced by a sequence of traces in  $T(B)$ ) are weak\* dense in  $T(B_\omega)$  (Theorem 2.7, below). Therefore, it suffices to check that the desired tracial identities (see next paragraph) hold for such traces. Let a trace  $\tau$  of the form  $\lim_{k \rightarrow \omega} \tau_k$  in  $T(B_\omega)$  be given. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \tau(\varphi_k^n \alpha_A) &= \lim_{k \rightarrow \omega} \tau_k \varphi_k^n \alpha_A = \lim_{k \rightarrow \omega} \alpha_A^* \tau_k \varphi_k^n = \alpha_A^* \lim_{k \rightarrow \omega} \tau_k \varphi_k^n \\ &\stackrel{(*)}{=} \alpha_A^* \lim_{k \rightarrow \omega} \mu_k(t^n) \Phi(\tau_k) = \alpha_A^* \lim_{k \rightarrow \omega} \Phi(\tau_k) = \lim_{k \rightarrow \omega} \alpha_A^* \Phi(\tau_k) \end{aligned}$$

for each  $k, n \in \mathbb{N}$ . The second to last equality uses the fact that  $\lim_{k \rightarrow \omega} \mu_k(t^n) = 1$  and continuity of  $\alpha_A^*$  is used for the third equality and the last two.

The remaining map  $\psi$  is constructed in a similar way. Let  $\alpha_B : B \rightarrow E$  be a AF embedding which induces a tracial simplex isomorphism  $T(E) \rightarrow T(B)$ , and let  $\psi_k : E \rightarrow A$  be order zero maps satisfying the identity

$$\tau \psi_k^n = \mu_k(t^n) \Psi(\tau)$$

for each  $k, n \in \mathbb{N}$  and each  $\tau \in T(A)$ , where  $\Psi$  is the tracial simplex isomorphism  $(\alpha_A^* \Phi \alpha_B^*)^{-1}$ . Let  $\psi : B_\omega \rightarrow A_\omega$  denote the order zero map induced by the sequence  $(\psi_k \alpha_B)$ . Then for each limit trace  $\tau = \lim_{k \rightarrow \omega} \tau_k$  in  $T(A_\omega)$ ,

$$\tau \psi^n = \lim_{k \rightarrow \omega} \alpha_B^* \Psi(\tau_k)$$

for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Therefore (see proof of Theorem 4.5 for more details),

$$\tau(\psi \varphi)^n = (\varphi^n)^* \left( \lim_{k \rightarrow \omega} \alpha_B^* \Psi(\tau_k) \right) = \lim_{k \rightarrow \omega} \alpha_A^* \Phi \alpha_B^* \Psi(\tau_k) = \tau$$

for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  and each limit trace  $\tau \in T(A_\omega)$ . By Theorem 2.7, the above identity

holds for all  $\tau \in T(A_\omega)$ . A symmetric argument shows that  $\tau(\varphi\psi)^n = \tau$  for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  and each  $\tau \in T(B_\omega)$ . Therefore,  $\varphi$  and  $\psi$  determine a coloured isomorphism of  $A$  and  $B$ . (To simplify the discussion, we omit the question of preserving constant limit traces and defer to the proof of Theorem 4.5.)

Since the  $n$ -coloured isomorphism of [11] requires unitaries rather than contractions, a different uniqueness theorem is developed for order zero maps in the unique trace case ([11, Lemma 5.6.2]). It provides a stronger statement than [7, Theorem 5.5] (in the unique trace case) since it is applicable to pairs of order zero maps (rather than one  $*$ -homomorphism and one order zero map) and because it provides unitary equivalence of the order zero maps involved rather than after tensoring the order zero maps with a positive element  $h \in \mathcal{Z}$  with full spectrum. Let  $h$  be such an element with the additional stipulation that  $\tau_{\mathcal{Z}}(h^n) = \tau_{\mathcal{Z}}((1_{\mathcal{Z}} - h)^n) = 1/(n+1)$  for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  where  $\tau_{\mathcal{Z}}$  denotes the unique trace of  $\mathcal{Z}$ . Because the scaling factors introduced by the unitaries differ from those introduced by contractions under traces, the compositions of the order zero maps  $\varphi : A \rightarrow B$  and  $\psi : B \rightarrow A$  implementing the  $n$ -coloured equivalence are compared with the contractive order zero maps  $\rho_{A,h} := \sigma_1(\text{id}_A \otimes h)$  and  $\rho_{B,h} := \sigma_2(\text{id}_B \otimes h)$  under traces where  $\sigma_1 : A \otimes \mathcal{Z} \rightarrow A$  and  $\sigma_2 : B \otimes \mathcal{Z} \rightarrow B$  are isomorphisms whose inverses are approximately unitarily equivalent to the first factor embeddings. The moment problem in this setting is more complicated than the one in ours because the order zero maps involved in the uniqueness theorem are induced by constant sequences of maps, and therefore the moments need to match up on the dot rather than only approximately. Moreover, the target moments of  $\psi\varphi$  and  $\varphi\psi$  actually depend on  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , as

$$\tau_A \rho_1^n = \frac{\tau_A(\cdot)}{n+1} \quad \text{and} \quad \tau_B \rho_2^n = \frac{\tau_B(\cdot)}{n+1},$$

where  $\tau_A$  and  $\tau_B$  denote the unique trace of  $A$  and  $B$  (see [11, Theorem 5.6.8]).

The main step in constructing  $\varphi$  and  $\psi$  (in [11]) is the construction of maps out of unital, simple, separable AF algebras with unique trace into  $\mathcal{Z}$  realizing specific moments. Let us outline the construction of  $\varphi$  using this result. By [63, Theorem A],  $A$  embeds into a simple, separable, unital AF algebra  $D$  with unique trace via a map  $\alpha_A$  which induces an isomorphism of tracial simplices. Then an order zero map  $\phi : D \rightarrow \mathcal{Z}$  is constructed, using a measure  $\mu$  on the unit interval with the very specific moments  $\mu(t^n) = 1/\sqrt{n+1}$ ,  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , such that

$$\tau_{\mathcal{Z}}\phi^n = \frac{\tau_D}{\sqrt{n+1}}$$

for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  ([11, Lemma 5.6.5]). The order zero map  $\varphi$  is then given by the composition

$$A \xrightarrow{\alpha_A} D \xrightarrow{\phi} \mathcal{Z} \xrightarrow{1_B \otimes \text{id}_{\mathcal{Z}}} B \otimes \mathcal{Z} \xrightarrow{\sigma_2} B.$$

Apart from  $\phi$ , each of the above maps is a trace-preserving  $*$ -homomorphism, and so by Corollary 2.4 below, the moments of  $\varphi$  are

$$\tau_B\varphi^n = \tau\sigma_2(1_B \otimes 1_{\mathcal{Z}})\phi^n\alpha_A = \frac{\tau_B}{\sqrt{n+1}}$$

for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . The second order zero map  $\psi$  is constructed in a similar way so that

$$\tau_A(\psi\varphi)^n = \frac{\tau_A}{n+1} \quad \text{and} \quad \tau_B(\varphi\psi)^n = \frac{\tau_B}{n+1}$$

for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . The appropriate uniqueness theorem ([11, Lemma 5.6.2]) is then applied twice with  $\psi\varphi$ : once with  $\rho_{A,h}$ , and once more with  $\rho_{A,1-h}$ , and similarly for  $\varphi\psi$ , in order to obtain a two-coloured isomorphism of  $A$  and  $B$ .

All that remains to be outlined in the construction of order zero maps, either

in our setting or in that of [11], is how to ensure the prescribed tracial data. Let us begin with the approach taken in [11]. Let  $D$  be a simple, separable, unital AF algebra with unique trace  $\tau$  and let  $\nu$  be a fully supported Borel measure on  $[0, 1]$ . Recall (e.g., from [34]) that  $\tau$  induces a functional  $d_\tau : \text{Cu}(D) \rightarrow [0, \infty]$ . It is stated in [11, Proposition 1.10.12] that the map  $\sigma : \text{Lsc}([0, 1], \text{Cu}(D)) \rightarrow \text{Cu}(\mathcal{Z})$  determined by the rule

$$f \mapsto \int_0^1 d_\tau(f(t)) \, d\nu(t)$$

for each  $f \in \text{Lsc}([0, 1], \text{Cu}(D))$  is a Cuntz category morphism. Since  $\mathcal{Z}$  has unique trace,  $\sigma$  maps into  $\text{Cu}(\mathcal{Z})$  (which is naturally isomorphic to  $V(D) \sqcup (0, \infty]$ , by [34, Corollary 6.8]). By [4, Theorem 2.6], the natural map  $\text{Cu}(C([0, 1], D)) \rightarrow \text{Lsc}([0, 1], \text{Cu}(D))$  is a Cuntz category isomorphism. Combining this isomorphism with  $\sigma$ , one has a Cuntz category morphism from  $\text{Cu}(C([0, 1], D)) \rightarrow \text{Cu}(\mathcal{Z})$ . This induces a Cuntz category morphism between  $C_0(0, 1] \otimes D$  and  $\mathcal{Z}$  of the augmented invariant of [57] and by [57, Theorem 1.0.1], there exists a  $*$ -homomorphism  $\pi : C_0(0, 1] \otimes D \rightarrow \mathcal{Z}$  which then induces an order zero map  $\rho : D \rightarrow \mathcal{Z}$ , by [70, Corollary 4.1]. It is then checked that  $\rho$  satisfies the desired tracial identity in [11, Lemma 5.6.5].

In order to move beyond the unique trace case in Theorem 4.5, the order zero maps implementing a coloured equivalence cannot be made with a sequence of maps that factor through  $\mathcal{Z}$ , as that would collapse the trace space to a single point (such maps necessarily preserve the trace space up to isomorphism, by Theorem 4.1 below). Hence, a replacement for [11, Lemma 5.6.5] is needed. Our formulation of the order zero map realizing prescribed tracial data maps directly into a unital, simple, separable, exact,  $\mathcal{Z}$ -stable  $C^*$ -algebra  $B$  with stable rank one whose tracial simplex is isomorphic to that of an AF algebra  $D$  (no longer assumed to have unique trace) instead of  $\mathcal{Z}$ . This order zero map is constructed by showing that the map

$\sigma : \text{Cu}(C_0(0, 1] \otimes D) \rightarrow \text{LAff}_+(TB)$  determined by the rule

$$[d] \mapsto (\mu \otimes \Phi(\cdot))[d]$$

for each  $[d] \in \text{Cu}(C_0(0, 1] \otimes D)$  is a Cuntz category morphism, where  $\mu$  is a faithful densely defined lower semicontinuous trace on  $C_0(0, 1]$ . Since  $\text{LAff}_+(TB)$  is a subobject of  $\text{Cu}(B)$  (Remark 2.15),  $\sigma$  extends to a Cuntz category morphism into  $\text{Cu}(B)$ .

In fact, we couldn't figure out how to use the characterization of compact containment given in [4] to work directly at the level of the cone over the AF algebra and so it is established that  $\sigma$  is a Cuntz category morphism by showing that it is the inductive limit of Cuntz category morphisms  $\sigma_i$  at the finite stages of the AF algebra inductive limit decomposition. That  $\sigma_i$  is a generalized Cuntz morphism follows from [34]. To show that compact containment is preserved by  $\sigma_i$ , we show (in the proof of Theorem 4.3) that  $\sigma_i$  is a weighted direct sum of copies of the functional  $d_\mu : \text{Cu}(C_0(0, 1]) \rightarrow [0, \infty]$ . This reduces the problem of showing that  $\sigma_i$  preserves compact containment to showing that the functional  $d_\mu$  preserves compact containment. This is done in Section 3, where we give a topological characterization of when functionals arising from a faithful densely defined lower semicontinuous trace preserve compact containment. In particular, an essential property of the half open interval is that it does not contain non-empty compact open sets. (At the end of the section, we give a sufficient condition for when non-faithful densely defined lower semicontinuous traces induce functionals which preserve compact containment.) In Theorem 4.3, it is shown that the morphisms  $\sigma_i$  give rise to a one-sided intertwining at the level of the Cuntz category. By the classification theorem (of [14]) for \*-homomorphisms out of cones, this then gives rise to an approximate one-sided intertwining at the level of  $C^*$ -algebras. The inductive limit \*-homomorphism  $\pi : C_0(0, 1] \otimes D \rightarrow B$  then induces

the desired order zero map.

The outline of the paper is as follows: In Section 2, we introduce basic notions and terminology along with important results that are essential for the main results. We also include statements which are likely known to experts, but possibly not spelled out in literature. In Section 3, we give a characterization for when a trace  $\tau$  on a  $C^*$ -algebra  $A$  induces a functional  $d_\tau$  on  $\text{Cu}(A)$  preserves compact containment. In Section 4, we prove the main results and discuss some consequences and questions.

# Chapter 2

## Preliminaries

### Order zero maps

Let  $A$  and  $B$  be  $C^*$ -algebras and let  $\varphi : A \rightarrow B$  be a completely positive (c.p.) map (c.p.c. if the map is contractive). The map  $\varphi$  is said to have *order zero* if it preserves orthogonality ([70, Definition 1.3]). Examples of such maps include  $*$ -homomorphisms and more generally, the product of a  $*$ -homomorphism  $\pi : A \rightarrow B$  with a positive element  $h \in B$  which commutes with the image of  $\pi$ . The following structure theorem shows that all order zero maps admit such a decomposition. The  $*$ -homomorphism  $\pi_\varphi$  which appears below is called the support  $*$ -homomorphism of  $\varphi$ .

**Theorem 2.1** ([70, Theorem 2.3]). *Let  $A$  and  $B$  be  $C^*$ -algebras and let  $\varphi : A \rightarrow B$  be a c.p. order zero map. Define  $C := C^*(\varphi(A)) \subseteq B$  (and denote the multiplier algebra of  $C$  by  $\mathcal{M}(C)$ ). Then there exist a unique positive element  $h_\varphi$  in the centre of  $\mathcal{M}(C)$  and a  $*$ -homomorphism  $\pi_\varphi : A \rightarrow \mathcal{M}(C)$  such that*

$$\varphi(a) = h_\varphi \pi_\varphi(a) \tag{2.1}$$

for all  $a \in A$ . Necessarily,  $\|h_\varphi\| = \|\varphi\|$ , and if  $A$  is unital, then  $h_\varphi = \varphi(1_A) \in B$ .

**Corollary 2.2** ([7, Proposition 1.4]). *Let  $\varphi : A \rightarrow B$  be a c.p.c. order zero map between  $C^*$ -algebras where  $A$  is unital. Then  $\varphi$  is a  $*$ -homomorphism if, and only if,  $\varphi(1_A)$  is a projection.*

*Proof.* This follows immediately from Theorem 2.1.  $\square$

The next corollary is known as the order zero functional calculus. To avoid ambiguity with the notation introduced below, we will never use  $\varphi^n$  to mean iterated composition.

**Corollary 2.3** ([70, Corollary 3.2]). *Let  $\varphi : A \rightarrow B$  be a c.p.c. order zero map and let notation be as in Theorem 2.1. Then for any positive function  $f$  in  $C_0((0, 1])$ , the map*

$$f(\varphi) : A \rightarrow B$$

*defined by*

$$f(\varphi)(\cdot) := f(h_\varphi)\pi_\varphi(\cdot) \tag{2.2}$$

*is a well-defined c.p. order zero map taking values in  $C$ . If  $f$  has norm at most one, then the map  $f(\varphi)$  is contractive.*

Let  $\varphi : A \rightarrow B$  and  $\psi : B \rightarrow C$  be c.p.c. order zero maps between unital  $C^*$ -algebras and let notation be as in Theorem 2.1. The following statement concerning the composition  $\psi\varphi$  is established in [11] by proving that the support  $*$ -homomorphism of a composition is essentially the composition of the the individual support  $*$ -homomorphisms (more precisely, it is shown that  $h_{\psi\varphi}\pi_{\psi\varphi} = h_{\psi\varphi}\pi_\psi\pi_\varphi$  ([11, Corollary 1.4.14])). We give a slightly more direct proof.

**Corollary 2.4** ([11, Section 5.6]). *Suppose  $\varphi : A \rightarrow B$  and  $\psi : B \rightarrow C$  are c.p.c.*

order zero maps between  $C^*$ -algebras. Then

$$(\psi\varphi)^n = \psi^n\varphi^n \quad (2.3)$$

for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  (excluding  $n = 0$ ). If  $\varphi$  is a  $*$ -homomorphism, then  $\varphi^n = \varphi$ .

*Proof.* By [70, Proposition 2.2], we may suppose, without loss of generality, that  $A$  is unital. Let notation be as in Theorem 2.1 for  $\varphi$ ,  $\psi$ , and  $\psi\varphi$ . Then we have

$$h_{\psi\varphi} = \psi\varphi(1_A) = \psi(h_\varphi) \stackrel{(2.1)}{=} h_\psi\pi_\psi(h_\varphi), \quad (2.4)$$

using that  $A$  is unital for the first two equalities. Now, for fixed  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} (\psi\varphi)^{n+1} &\stackrel{(2.2)}{=} h_{\psi\varphi}^{n+1}\pi_{\psi\varphi} = h_{\psi\varphi}^n h_{\psi\varphi} \pi_{\psi\varphi} \stackrel{(2.4)}{=} h_\psi^n \pi_\psi(h_\varphi^n) h_{\psi\varphi} \pi_{\psi\varphi} \\ &\stackrel{(2.1)}{=} h_\psi^n \pi_\psi(h_\varphi^n) \psi\varphi \stackrel{(2.1)}{=} (h_\psi^{n+1} \pi_\psi(h_\varphi^n) \pi_\psi)(h_\varphi \pi_\varphi) \\ &= (h_\psi^{n+1} \pi_\psi)(h_\varphi^{n+1} \pi_\varphi) \stackrel{(2.2)}{=} \psi^{n+1} \varphi^{n+1}. \end{aligned}$$

If  $\varphi$  is a  $*$ -homomorphism, then  $h_\varphi = \varphi(1_A)$  is a projection and so  $\varphi^n = \varphi$ .  $\square$

The following correspondence between c.p.c. order zero maps and  $*$ -homomorphisms out of cones is from [70], but the formulation presented here is that of [7, Proposition 1.3]. Both Corollary 2.5 and Remark 2.6 will be used in the proof of Theorem 4.5.

**Corollary 2.5** ([70, Corollary 3.1]). *Let  $A$  and  $B$  be  $C^*$ -algebras. There is a one-to-one correspondence between c.p.c. order zero maps  $\varphi : A \rightarrow B$  and  $*$ -homomorphisms  $\pi : C_0(0, 1] \otimes A \rightarrow B$  where  $\varphi$  and  $\pi$  are related by the commuting diagram*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} A & \xrightarrow{a \mapsto t \otimes a} & C_0(0, 1] \otimes A \\ & \searrow \varphi & \downarrow \pi \\ & & B \end{array} \quad (2.5)$$

and  $t \in C_0(0, 1]$  denotes the identity function.

**Remark 2.6.** It is noted in [7] that the order zero functional calculus can be recovered from the identity

$$f(\varphi)(a) = \pi(f \otimes a) \tag{2.6}$$

where  $f$  is a positive function in  $C_0(0, 1]$ ,  $a \in A$ , and  $\varphi$  and  $\pi$  are as in the corollary above. Let us verify that this agrees with the definition made earlier.

*Proof.* We may again, by [70, Proposition 2.2], suppose without loss of generality that  $A$  is unital. Let notation be as in Theorem 2.1 and let  $f \in C_0(0, 1]_+$  be given. By Theorem 2.1 and Corollary 2.5,  $h_\varphi = \varphi(1_A) = \pi(t \otimes 1_A)$ . So for fixed  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $a \in A$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi^{n+1}(a) &\stackrel{(2.2)}{=} h_\varphi^{n+1} \pi_\varphi(a) = h_\varphi^n (h_\varphi \pi_\varphi(a)) \stackrel{(2.1)}{=} h_\varphi^n \varphi(a) \\ &\stackrel{(2.5)}{=} \pi(t^n \otimes 1_A) \pi(t \otimes a) = \pi(t^{n+1} \otimes a). \end{aligned}$$

It is readily seen from this calculation and approximating  $f$  by polynomials in  $C_0(0, 1]$  that  $f(\varphi)(a) = \pi(f \otimes a)$ . □

## Ultrapowers

Let  $A$  be a  $C^*$ -algebra. The bounded sequence algebra of  $A$ , denoted by  $l^\infty(A)$ , is defined to be the collection of all norm-bounded sequences of elements from  $A$ . The ideal of elements in  $l^\infty(A)$  which go to zero along the ultrafilter  $\omega$  is denoted by  $c_\omega(A)$ . The *ultrapower* of  $A$  is the quotient  $C^*$ -algebra  $A_\omega := l^\infty(A)/c_\omega(A)$ . We shall write  $(a_n)_{n=1}^\infty$  for an element in  $A_\omega$  rather than the class it belongs to. We will occasionally write  $a$  to refer to the image of  $a$  under the canonical embedding of  $A$  into  $A_\omega$ . A

sequence  $\varphi_n : A \rightarrow B$  of c.p.c. order zero maps between  $C^*$ -algebras induces a c.p.c. order zero map  $\varphi : A_\omega \rightarrow B_\omega$  between ultrapowers. We denote the induced map by  $\varphi := (\varphi_n)_{n=1}^\infty$ . If  $A$  is unital, then, with notation as in Theorem 2.1, we see that  $h_\varphi = (h_{\varphi_n})_{n=1}^\infty$  and so the order zero functional calculus for  $\varphi$  can be realized by applying positive functions in  $C_0(0, 1]$  componentwise to  $\varphi_n$ .

## Traces and finiteness

Let  $A$  be a  $C^*$ -algebra. By a *trace* on  $A$  we will mean a lower semicontinuous function  $\tau : A_+ \rightarrow [0, \infty]$  that is additive, preserves zero, is positively homogeneous, and satisfies the trace identity  $\tau(a^*a) = \tau(aa^*)$  for all  $a \in A$ . We will denote by  $N_\tau$  the ideal of elements  $a \in A$  such that  $\tau(a^*a) = 0$ . A trace  $\tau$  is said to be *faithful* if  $\tau(a^*a) = 0$  implies  $a = 0$ . We will use the notation  $T(A)$  (or simply  $TA$ ) to denote the collection of all tracial states on  $A$  – a Choquet simplex if  $A$  is unital. The *limit traces* of  $A_\omega$  are the tracial states on  $A_\omega$  which are equal to  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \omega} \tau_n$  where  $(\tau_n)$  is some sequence of tracial states on  $A$ . We will denote the collection of limit traces on  $A_\omega$  by  $T_\omega(A_\omega)$ . We will occasionally not make a notational distinction between a trace in  $T(A)$  and (what we will refer to as) the constant limit trace in  $T(A_\omega)$  induced by it.

By [70, Corollary 3.4], a c.p.c. order zero map  $\varphi : A \rightarrow B$  induces a mapping of bounded traces  $\varphi^* : \mathbb{R}_+T(B) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+T(A)$ . We would be interested in the case that  $\varphi^*$  is an isomorphism of tracial simplices, but this hope turns out not to be realistic. Rather, considering a sequence of c.p.c. order zero maps  $\varphi_k : A \rightarrow B$ , we will be interested in the case that the c.p.c. order zero map  $\varphi : A_\omega \rightarrow B_\omega$  induced by  $(\varphi_k)$  preserves constant limit traces (i.e., takes constant limit traces on  $B_\omega$  to constant limit traces on  $A_\omega$ ) and furthermore the map  $\tau \mapsto \lim_{k \rightarrow \omega} \tau\varphi_k$ ,  $\tau \in T(B)$ , is an isomorphism of the simplices  $T(B)$  and  $T(A)$ . (Note that requiring the sequence

$(\varphi_k)$  to preserve constant limit traces is equivalent to requiring the sequence  $(\tau\varphi_k)$  to be norm convergent for every  $\tau \in T(B)$ .) More generally, we shall be interested in the condition that a constant limit trace preserving c.p.c. order zero map  $\varphi : A_\omega \rightarrow B_\omega$  (not necessarily arising from a sequence  $(\varphi_k)$  as above) induces an isomorphism  $T(B) \rightarrow T(A)$  of tracial simplices via the composed map  $\iota_A^* \varphi^* c_B$  where  $c_B : T(B) \rightarrow T(B_\omega)$  denotes the embedding of  $T(B)$  as constant limit traces on  $T(B_\omega)$ . (One might also consider the tracial cones, instead, and ask when they are isomorphic.)

Calculations involving traces on  $A_\omega$  will often be reduced to the case of limit traces by using the following fact about weak\* density of limit traces. Generalizations of the statement presented here can be found in [50], [49], and [60].

**Theorem 2.7** ([50, Theorem 8]). *Let  $A$  be a separable, exact, and  $\mathcal{Z}$ -stable  $C^*$ -algebra (where  $\mathcal{Z}$  denotes the Jiang-Su algebra, [42]). Then  $T_\omega(A)$  is weak\* dense in  $T(A_\omega)$ .*

Let  $A$  be a  $C^*$ -algebra with nonempty tracial state space. We define the seminorm  $\|\cdot\|_{2,T(A)}$  by

$$\|a\|_{2,T(A)} := \sup_{\tau \in T(A)} (\tau(a^*a))^{1/2},$$

for each  $a \in A$ . The *trace-kernel ideal* of  $A_\omega$  is the set

$$J_A := \{(a_n) \in A_\omega : \lim_{n \rightarrow \omega} \|a_n\|_{2,T(A)} = 0\},$$

and the quotient of  $A_\omega$  by  $J_A$  is called the *uniform tracial ultrapower* of  $A$ , and it is denoted by  $A^\omega$ . More details about these notions can be found in [44, Section 4] and [12, Section 1].

**Remark 2.8.** Let  $A$  be a  $C^*$ -algebra and let  $B$  be a  $C^*$ -algebra with  $T(B)$  nonempty and compact such that the limit traces of  $B_\omega$  are dense in  $T(B_\omega)$  (for example, unital  $C^*$ -algebras as in Theorem 2.7) and let  $\varphi, \psi : A \rightarrow B_\omega$  be c.p.c. order zero maps. Then  $\tau\varphi = \tau\psi$  for all  $\tau \in T(B_\omega)$  if, and only if,  $\varphi$  agrees with  $\psi$  in the uniform tracial ultrapower  $B^\omega$ .

*Proof.* Let  $\pi : B_\omega \rightarrow B^\omega$  denote the canonical quotient map. By linearity, it is enough to show that an element of  $B_\omega$  is in the kernel of every trace on  $T(B_\omega)$  exactly when it belongs to the trace-kernel ideal of  $B_\omega$ . Suppose  $(b_n) \in \ker \tau$  for every  $\tau \in T(B_\omega)$ . Since  $T(B)$  is compact, for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , there exists a trace  $\tau_n \in T(B)$  such that  $\|b_n\|_{2,T(B)}^2 = \tau_n(b_n^*b_n)$ . By assumption,  $(b_n)$  is in the kernel of the limit trace  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \omega} \tau_n$  on  $T(B_\omega)$ . Since the kernel of a trace is a left ideal, it follows that

$$\|\pi(b_n)\|^2 = \lim_{n \rightarrow \omega} \|b_n\|_{2,T(B)}^2 = \lim_{n \rightarrow \omega} \sup_{\tau \in T(B)} \tau(b_n^*b_n) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \omega} \tau_n(b_n^*b_n) = 0.$$

Conversely, suppose  $b = (b_n)$  is in the trace-kernel ideal of  $B_\omega$ . Since the limit traces of  $B_\omega$  are weak\* dense in  $T(B_\omega)$ , it is enough to show that  $\tau(b) = 0$  for every limit trace  $\tau = \lim_{n \rightarrow \omega} \tau_n \in T(B_\omega)$ . This follows from the computation

$$|\tau(b)| = \lim_{n \rightarrow \omega} |\tau_n(b_n)| \leq \lim_{n \rightarrow \omega} \tau_n(b_n^*b_n) \leq \lim_{n \rightarrow \omega} \|b\|_{2,T(B)}^2 = \|\pi(b)\| = 0.$$

The first inequality follows from a well known fact about finite traces ([35, Theorem 1]). □

The following statement holds somewhat more generally (see proof), but this is the setting which will be relevant for us in Theorem 4.5.

**Theorem 2.9** ([60],[19],[6],[40],[56]). *Let  $A$  be a (non-zero) unital, simple, exact,  $\mathcal{Z}$ -stable  $C^*$ -algebra. Then  $A$  is either purely infinite or finite.*

*Proof.* By [60, Corollary 5.1], the unital exact,  $\mathcal{Z}$ -stable  $C^*$ -algebra  $A$  is purely infinite if, and only if, it is traceless (i.e.  $T(A) = \emptyset$ ).

By [19, Corollary 4.7] and [6, Theorem II.2.2], a simple, unital  $C^*$ -algebra  $A$  is stably finite if, and only if, it admits a (non-zero, finite) quasitrace. By [40, Theorem 5.11], every quasitrace on a unital exact  $C^*$ -algebra is a trace. Therefore,  $A$  is stably finite if, and only if,  $T(A) \neq \emptyset$ . It remains to show that finiteness implies stable

finiteness in the present context. By [60, Theorem 6.7], since  $A$  is simple, unital,  $\mathcal{Z}$ -stable, and finite, it has stable rank one. By [56, Theorem 6.1],  $M_n(A)$  also has stable rank one, and, in particular, is finite (see [56, Proposition 3.1]); in other words,  $A$  is stably finite.  $\square$

**Remark 2.10.** When  $A$  is unital, simple, and  $\mathcal{Z}$ -stable, the proof of the preceding theorem shows that the statement of [60, Theorem 6.7] can be slightly strengthened to say that the following three statements are equivalent:

- (1)  $A$  is finite;
- (2)  $A$  has stable rank one;
- (3)  $A$  is stably finite.

## Coloured isomorphism

We introduce two notions of coloured isomorphism. The first one (Definition 2.11 below) is symmetrically formulated, but it is a little long. A weaker notion (Definition 2.13 below) is all that's needed to establish Theorem 4.1. Together with Theorem 4.5, it follows that these two notions coincide in the classifiable setting.

**Definition 2.11.** Unital  $C^*$ -algebras  $A$  and  $B$  will be said to be *coloured isomorphic* if there exist c.p.c. order zero maps  $\varphi : A_\omega \rightarrow B_\omega$  and  $\psi : B_\omega \rightarrow A_\omega$  such that  $\psi\varphi\iota_A$  is coloured equivalent to  $\iota_A$  (see Definition 2.22) and  $\varphi\psi\iota_B$  is coloured equivalent to  $\iota_B$ ; and  $\varphi^*$  and  $\psi^*$  preserve constant limit traces (see Section 2).

**Remark 2.12.** Coloured isomorphism is reflexive and symmetric. It follows from Corollary 4.6 that transitivity holds for classifiable  $C^*$ -algebras (cf. [7, Section 6]), but transitivity is not clear in general.

**Definition 2.13.** Unital  $C^*$ -algebras  $A$  and  $B$  will be said to be *minimalist coloured isomorphic* if there exist constant limit trace preserving c.p.c. order zero maps  $\varphi : A_\omega \rightarrow B_\omega$  and  $\psi : B_\omega \rightarrow A_\omega$ , and contractions  $u_1, \dots, u_m \in A_\omega$  and  $v_1, \dots, v_n \in B_\omega$ , such that

$$\sum_{i=1}^m u_i \psi \varphi(a) u_i^* = a \quad \text{and} \quad \sum_{j=1}^n v_j \varphi \psi(b) v_j^* = b \quad (2.7)$$

for all  $a \in A$  and for all  $b \in B$ , and, in addition,

$$\sum_{i=1}^m u_i^* u_i = 1_{A_\omega}, \quad \sum_{j=1}^n v_j^* v_j = 1_{B_\omega}. \quad (2.8)$$

We note that (2.7) is not a priori symmetric, as required by Definition 2.11. It follows from Theorem 4.1 and Theorem 4.5 that symmetry is automatic in the classifiable setting.

The identity (2.7) implies that  $\varphi$  and  $\psi$  are injective; furthermore, the commutation relations coming from the coloured equivalences of maps – the symmetrized form of coloured isomorphism holding automatically in the classifiable case – guarantee that  $u_i \psi \varphi(\cdot) u_i^*$  and  $v_j \varphi \psi(\cdot) v_j^*$  are order zero ( $i = 1, \dots, m$  and  $j = 1, \dots, n$ ).

## The Cuntz category

If  $a$  and  $b$  are elements of an ordered set  $M$ , we will say that  $a$  is (*countably*) *compactly contained* in  $b$ , and we write  $a \ll b$ , if for any increasing sequence  $(b_k)_{k=1}^\infty$  in  $M$  with  $\sup_k b_k \geq b$  (or such that every upper bound of the sequence majorizes  $b$ ), eventually  $b_k \geq a$ . An increasing sequence with each term compactly contained in the next is called *rapidly increasing*. An element which is compactly contained in itself is called *compact* (more precisely, *countably compact*).

*Cuntz category semigroups* are ordered abelian semigroups with an additive identity with the following four properties:

- (O1) Every increasing sequence in  $S$  has a supremum in  $S$ .
- (O2) Any element of  $S$  is the supremum of a rapidly increasing sequence.
- (O3) If  $a_i$  and  $b_i$  are elements of  $S$  such that  $a_i \ll b_i$ ,  $i = 1, 2$ , then  $a_1 + a_2 \ll b_1 + b_2$ .
- (O4) If  $(a_n)$  and  $(b_n)$  are increasing sequences in  $S$ , then  $\sup_n(a_n + b_n) = \sup_n a_n + \sup_n b_n$ .

*Cuntz category morphisms*  $f : S \rightarrow T$  are ordered semigroup maps (i.e. preserving order, addition, and the additive identity) which preserve suprema of increasing sequences and compact containment. A *generalized Cuntz category morphism* is a Cuntz category morphism which does not necessarily preserve compact containment. The Cuntz category has as objects the ordered semigroups and ordered semigroup maps with the properties stipulated above ([18], [34], [57]). It is easily checked, and it will be used without mention that Cartesian products and direct sums exist in this category.

It was shown in [18] that there is a functor  $\text{Cu}(\cdot)$  from the category of  $C^*$ -algebras to the Cuntz category. Recall that, for a  $C^*$ -algebra  $A$ ,  $\text{Cu}(A)$  is the ordered semigroup of Cuntz equivalence classes of positive elements in the stabilization of  $A$  and is a Cuntz category semigroup. We shall denote by  $[a]$  the Cuntz equivalence class of a positive element  $a$  of  $A \otimes \mathcal{K}$ . We shall denote by  $V(A)$  the semigroup of Murray-von Neumann equivalence classes of projections in the stabilization of  $A$ . For a compact convex subset  $K$  of a locally convex topological vector space, we shall denote by  $\text{LAff}_+(K)$  the collection of lower semicontinuous extended positive real-valued affine functions on  $K$  which are strictly positive, except for the zero function, and are the pointwise supremum of an increasing sequence of continuous and finite-valued such

functions. If  $K$  is metrizable, then the latter condition is automatic. It was observed in Section 2 of [32] (and in Section 3 of [65]) that  $\text{LAff}_+(K)$ , equipped with pointwise order and addition, is a Cuntz category semigroup. The addition and order structure defined on the disjoint union decomposition appearing in the following important computation can be found in Section 6 of [34]. Related work on the unstabilized Cuntz semigroup that was done prior to the result stated here can be found in [52], [10], and [22]. Recent developments that go well beyond what is needed in this paper include [65, Theorem 8.11] and [3, Theorem 7.15].

**Theorem 2.14** ([34, Corollary 6.8]). *Let  $A$  be a unital, simple, separable, exact,  $\mathcal{Z}$ -stable, and finite  $C^*$ -algebra. Then, in a natural way,*

$$\text{Cu}(A) \cong V(A) \sqcup \text{LAff}_+(TA) \setminus \{0\}.$$

**Remark 2.15.** We note that  $\text{LAff}_+(TA)$  is a subobject of  $\text{Cu}(A)$  in the setting of Theorem 2.14, according to the embedding there.

*Proof.* Since the elements of  $V(A)$  are compact in  $\text{Cu}(A)$  (by Corollary 5 of [18]; this statement does not use stable rank one), it is clear that the embedding of  $\text{LAff}_+(TA)$  into  $\text{Cu}(A)$  is a generalized Cuntz category morphism. To show that compact containment is preserved, let  $f \ll g$  in  $\text{LAff}_+(TA)$  and let  $(g_n)_{n=1}^\infty$  be an increasing sequence in  $\text{Cu}(A)$  such that  $\sup_n g_n$  majorizes  $g$ . Passing to a subsequence, we may suppose that the sequence  $(g_n)_{n=1}^\infty$  is composed entirely of projections or entirely of affine functions. In the latter case, since the embedding of  $\text{LAff}_+(TA)$  in  $\text{Cu}(A)$  preserves increasing sequential suprema, we have  $\sup_n g_n \in \text{LAff}_+(TA)$  and so there is, by assumption, some  $g_n$  which majorizes  $f$ . Let us now consider the former case. Denote by  $\widehat{g}_k$  the rank of  $g_k$  – the element of  $\text{LAff}_+(TA)$  got by evaluation of traces in  $TA$  at the projection  $g_k$ .

By the characterization of compact containment in  $\text{LAff}_+(TA)$  in Section 2 of

[32] (or [65, Lemma 3.6]), there exists an  $h \in \text{LAff}_+(TA)$  which is continuous and finite-valued and an  $\varepsilon > 0$  such that  $f \leq h < (1 + \varepsilon)h \leq g$ . Since  $0 \notin \text{LAff}_+(TA)$ ,  $h$  is strictly positive. It follows that there is, for each  $\tau \in TA$ , a neighbourhood of  $\tau$  on which  $f$  is strictly majorized by some  $\widehat{g}_k$ . By compactness of  $TA$  and the fact that  $(g_n)_{n=1}^\infty$  is increasing,  $f$  is majorized by some  $\widehat{g}_n$  on all of  $TA$ . This shows  $f \ll g$  in  $\text{Cu}(A)$ .

To check that  $\text{LAff}_+(TA)$  is a subobject, it remains to note that  $f \ll g$  holds in  $\text{LAff}_+(TA)$  if it holds in  $\text{Cu}(A)$ . This follows immediately from the fact that increasing sequential suprema in  $\text{LAff}_+(TA)$  are the same in  $\text{Cu}(A)$  (see above).  $\square$

Examples of Cuntz category semigroups not explicitly involving a  $C^*$ -algebra include  $\overline{\mathbb{N}} := \{0, 1, 2, \dots, \infty\}$  and  $[0, \infty]$  with the usual order and addition taken from  $\mathbb{R}$ . In fact, these Cuntz objects both arise from  $C^*$ -algebras. Let  $\text{Lsc}(X, M)$  denote the collection of lower semicontinuous functions from a space  $X$  to a Cuntz category semigroup  $M$ , equipped with pointwise order and addition. Then  $\text{Lsc}(X, M)$  is a Cuntz category semigroup whenever  $X$  is a second-countable compact Hausdorff space with finite covering dimension and  $M$  is countably based ([4, Theorem 5.17]). It was also shown in [68, Corollary 4.22] that  $\text{Lsc}(X, \overline{\mathbb{N}})$  is a Cuntz category object when  $X$  is a compact metric space. It was proved in [41, Theorem 6.11] and [14, Theorem 10.1] that  $\text{Cu}(C_0(X)) \cong \text{Lsc}(X, \overline{\mathbb{N}})$ , via the rank map, if  $X$  is  $[0, 1]$  or  $(0, 1]$ . More generally, if  $X$  is a locally compact Hausdorff space with covering dimension at most two and  $\check{H}_2(K) = 0$  (Čech cohomology with integer coefficients) for every compact subset  $K$  of  $X$ , then again (via the rank map)  $\text{Cu}(C_0(X)) \cong \text{Lsc}_\sigma(X, \overline{\mathbb{N}})$ , the ordered semigroup of lower semicontinuous extended positive integer-valued functions  $f$  such that  $f^{-1}(k, \infty]$  is  $\sigma$ -compact for each  $k \in \mathbb{N}$  ([58, Theorem 1.1]). If  $C_0(X)$  is separable, these are the exact conditions needed on  $X$  for  $\text{Cu}(C_0(X))$  to be isomorphic (via the rank map) to  $\text{Lsc}(X, \overline{\mathbb{N}})$  ([58, Theorem 1.3]). Note that  $X$  is a hereditarily Lindelöf locally compact Hausdorff space (as is the case when  $C_0(X)$  is separable)

if, and only if,  $\text{Lsc}_\sigma(X, \overline{\mathbb{N}}) = \text{Lsc}(X, \overline{\mathbb{N}})$ . In general,  $\text{Lsc}_\sigma(X, \overline{\mathbb{N}})$  is a Cuntz category object, and in fact (Theorem 3 of [27]) a subobject of  $\text{Cu}(C_0(X))$ .

**Proposition 2.16.** *Let  $X$  be a locally compact Hausdorff space. Then  $\text{Lsc}_\sigma(X, \overline{\mathbb{N}})$  is a Cuntz category object.*

*Proof.* Let us first recall a characterization of compact containment for  $\sigma$ -compact elements in the lattice of open subsets of  $X$ , ordered by inclusion. If there is a compact subset  $K$  of  $X$  sitting in between open sets  $U$  and  $V$ , then  $U$  is compactly contained in  $V$ . For the converse, suppose  $U$  is compactly contained in  $V$  and that  $V$  is  $\sigma$ -compact. Since  $X$  is locally compact Hausdorff,  $V$  is locally compact and by assumption,  $V$  is  $\sigma$ -compact so there exist an increasing sequence of open sets  $(V_k)_{k=1}^\infty$  and an increasing sequence of compact sets  $(K_k)_{k=1}^\infty$  such that  $V_k \subseteq K_k \subseteq V_{k+1}$  for each  $k$  and  $\sup_k K_k = V$ . Since  $U$  is compactly contained in  $V$ , there exists a  $k$  such that  $U \subseteq V_k \subseteq K_k \subseteq V$ .

In the latter construction, each  $V_k$  can be chosen to be  $\sigma$ -compact. Set  $V_1 := \emptyset$ . By Urysohn's lemma, there exists a continuous function  $f_{k+1}$  from  $X$  into  $[0, 1]$  which is equal to 1 on  $K_k$  and is equal to 0 on  $X \setminus V_{k+1}$ . The support of  $f_{k+1}$ , with which we replace  $V_{k+1}$ , is an  $F_\sigma$ -set sitting in between  $K_k$  and  $K_{k+1}$ , and is therefore  $\sigma$ -compact.

(O1) Let  $(f_n)_{n=1}^\infty$  is an increasing sequence in  $\text{Lsc}_\sigma(X, \overline{\mathbb{N}})$  and denote by  $f := \sup_n f_n$ . Then for each  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $\{f > k\} = \bigcup_{n=1}^\infty \{f_n > k\}$ . (Abbreviated notation.) Since  $f_n$  is lower semicontinuous, each  $\{f_n > k\}$  is open and so  $f$  is lower semicontinuous. Since each  $\{f_n > k\}$  is  $\sigma$ -compact, a diagonalization argument shows  $\{f > k\}$  is  $\sigma$ -compact. This shows  $\text{Lsc}_\sigma(X, \overline{\mathbb{N}})$  is closed under suprema of increasing sequences.

(O4) is easily verified. It is also easy to see that if  $\chi_U$  and  $\chi_V$  are characteristic functions in  $\text{Lsc}_\sigma(X, \overline{\mathbb{N}})$ , then  $\chi_U \ll \chi_V$  if, and only if,  $U \ll V$ . We will now show that if  $f, g \in \text{Lsc}_\sigma(X, \overline{\mathbb{N}})$ , then  $f \ll g$  if, and only if,  $f$  is bounded and  $\{f > k\} \ll \{g > k\}$  for each  $k$ . Suppose  $f$  is compactly contained in  $g$ . Each  $\{g > k\}$  is the supremum of a rapidly increasing sequence of  $\sigma$ -compact open sets  $(U_{k,i})_{i=0}^\infty$  and so there exist

compact sets  $K_{k,i}$  such that  $U_{k,i} \subseteq K_{k,i} \subseteq U_{k+1,i}$  for each  $i$ . Moreover, by taking finite unions we may choose  $U_{k,i}$  and  $K_{k,i}$  so that  $U_{k,i} \supseteq U_{k+1,i}$  and  $K_{k,i} \supseteq K_{k+1,i}$  whenever  $k \leq i$ .

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccccc}
 U_{0,0} & \hookrightarrow & K_{0,0} & \hookrightarrow & U_{0,1} & \hookrightarrow & K_{0,1} & \hookrightarrow & U_{0,2} & \hookrightarrow & K_{0,2} & & \\
 & & & & \uparrow & & \uparrow & & \uparrow & & \uparrow & & \\
 & & & & U_{1,1} & \hookrightarrow & K_{1,1} & \hookrightarrow & U_{1,2} & \hookrightarrow & K_{1,2} & \dots & \\
 & & & & & & \uparrow & & \uparrow & & & & \\
 & & & & & & U_{2,2} & \hookrightarrow & K_{2,2} & & & & 
 \end{array}$$

The supremum of the increasing sequence of functions  $(\sum_{k=0}^i \chi_{U_{k,i}})_{i=0}^\infty$  is  $g$ . Since  $f$  is compactly contained in  $g$ ,  $f$  is majorized by  $\sum_{k=0}^n \chi_{U_{k,n}}$  for some  $n$  and so  $f$  is bounded. By construction,  $\{f > k\} \subseteq U_{k,n} \subseteq K_{k,n} \subseteq \{g > k\}$  for  $k \leq n$  (and if  $k > n$ , then  $\{f > k\} = \emptyset \ll \{g > k\}$ ). This shows  $\{f > k\}$  is compactly contained in  $\{g > k\}$  for each  $k$ .

Conversely, suppose  $f$  is bounded and that  $\{f > k\} \ll \{g > k\}$  for each  $k$ . Let an increasing sequence  $(g_i)_{i=1}^\infty$  whose supremum majorizes  $g$  be given. Since  $f$  is bounded,  $f = \sum_{k=0}^n \chi_{\{f > k\}}$  for some  $n$ . Since  $\{f > k\} \ll \{g > k\}$  there exists a compact set  $K_k$  which sits in between  $\{f > k\}$  and  $\{g > k\}$  for each  $k$ . Using that  $(g_i)_{i=1}^\infty$  is increasing, and that  $g_i$  is extended positive integer-valued and lower semicontinuous, by compactness of each  $K_k$ ,  $g_i$  eventually majorizes  $\sum_{k=0}^n \chi_{K_k}$  and therefore  $g_i$  eventually majorizes  $f$ . This shows  $f$  is compactly contained in  $g$ .

(O3) Suppose  $f_i \ll g_i$  for  $i = 1, 2$ . Then  $f_1 + f_2$  is bounded (since  $f_1$  and  $f_2$  are bounded). There is, for each  $k$ , a compact set  $K_{i,k}$  sitting in between  $\{f_i > k\}$  and

$\{g_i > k\}$  for  $i = 1, 2$ . Taking the convention that  $K_{i,-1} = X$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \{f_1 + f_2 > k\} &= \bigcup_{i=0}^{k+1} \{f_1 > k - i\} \cap \{f_2 > i - 1\} \\ &\subseteq \bigcup_{i=0}^{k+1} (K_{1,k-i} \cap K_{2,i-1}) \\ &\subseteq \bigcup_{i=0}^{k+1} \{g_1 > k - i\} \cap \{g_2 > i - 1\} = \{g_1 + g_2 > k\}. \end{aligned}$$

This shows that  $\{f_1 + f_2 > k\} \ll \{g_1 + g_2 > k\}$  for each  $k$ , so (see proof of (O4) above)  $f_1 + f_2$  is compactly contained in  $g_1 + g_2$ .

(O2) Let  $g \in \text{Lsc}_\sigma(X, \overline{\mathbb{N}})$  be given. Each  $\{g > k\}$  is the supremum of a rapidly increasing sequence of open sets  $(U_{k,i})_{i=0}^\infty$  and so  $g$  is the supremum of the increasing sequence  $(\sum_{k=0}^n \chi_{U_{k,n}})_{n=0}^\infty$ . By (O3), this sequence is rapidly increasing.  $\square$

**Theorem 2.17** ([58, Theorem 1.1]). *Let  $X$  be a locally compact Hausdorff space of covering dimension at most two with  $\check{H}_2(K) = 0$  for every compact subset  $K$  of  $X$ . Then  $\text{Cu}(C_0(X)) \cong \text{Lsc}_\sigma(X, \overline{\mathbb{N}})$ , the isomorphism consisting of the rank map.*

In order to lift Cuntz category morphisms to  $*$ -homomorphisms, we will need the following classification theorem of [14]. Further developments in this direction include [59, Theorem 2], [15, Theorem 1], and [57, Theorem 1.0.1].

**Theorem 2.18** ([14, Theorem 4.1 and remark page 29]). *Let  $B$  be a stable rank one  $C^*$ -algebra and let  $s_B$  be a strictly positive element of  $B$ . If  $\sigma : \text{Cu}(C_0(0, 1]) \rightarrow \text{Cu}(B)$  is a Cuntz category morphism taking  $[t]$  into  $[s_B]$ , or into  $g \leq [s_B]$ , then there is a  $*$ -homomorphism  $\pi : C_0(0, 1] \rightarrow B$ , which is unique up to approximate unitary equivalence, such that  $\text{Cu}(\pi) = \sigma$ .*

## Embedding theorems

**Theorem 2.19** ([43, Theorem 2.8]). *If  $A$  is a unital, separable, and exact  $C^*$ -algebra, then there exists a unital embedding of  $A$  into  $\mathcal{O}_2$ .*

**Theorem 2.20.** *If  $A$  is a unital, finite,  $\mathcal{Z}$ -stable, simple, separable amenable  $C^*$ -algebra satisfying the UCT, then there is a unital embedding of  $A$  into a unital, simple, separable AF algebra  $D$  giving rise to an isomorphism of tracial simplices.*

*Proof.* Let  $\mathcal{Q}$  denote the universal UHF algebra. Since there is an embedding of  $A$  into  $A \otimes \mathcal{Q}$  which induces an isomorphism of tracial simplices, we may suppose, without loss of generality, that  $A$  is  $\mathcal{Q}$ -stable. (This will be used in applying [39] below.)

Let  $\rho : K_0(A) \rightarrow \text{Aff}(T(A))$  denote the map associated to the canonical pairing of  $K_0(A)$  with  $T(A)$ . Choose a countable dense subgroup  $G$  of  $\text{Aff}(T(A))$  containing the image of  $\rho$ . By [2] and [47],  $\text{Aff}(T(A))$  with the strict pointwise order has the Riesz interpolation property, and therefore  $G$  does also. By [24], there exists a unital, simple, separable AF algebra  $D$  with  $K_0(D) = G$ . Since  $K_0(D)$  is dense in  $\text{Aff}(T(A))$ , the map  $T(A) \rightarrow S(K_0(D))$  is an isomorphism. Since  $D$  is an AF algebra,  $T(D) = S(K_0(D))$ , and so we have an isomorphism  $\Phi : T(D) \rightarrow T(A)$ . We now have compatible maps  $\rho : K_0(A) \rightarrow K_0(D)$  and  $\Phi : T(D) \rightarrow T(A)$ . Furthermore,  $A$  and we may suppose also  $D$  are  $\mathcal{Q}$ -stable. By [30, Theorem 1.1], [66, Theorem A], and [12, Theorem A],  $A \otimes \mathcal{Q}$  has generalized tracial rank at most one – and therefore,  $A$  does too as it is  $\mathcal{Q}$ -stable. The only additional constituent of the invariant ([39, Definition 2.4]) used in the homomorphism theorem [39, Corollary 21.11] is a  $K_1$ -map which we can take to be zero. The corresponding algebra map is the desired embedding. □

## Uniqueness theorems

A couple of the key technical ingredients used in the proof of Theorem 4.5 are the following uniqueness theorems for maps from unital, separable amenable  $C^*$ -algebras into unital, simple, separable,  $\mathcal{Z}$ -stable  $C^*$ -algebras. These results were developed in [7] with certain restrictions on the trace space. These tracial assumptions were removed in [12]. For the statement involving a Kirchberg algebra (i.e. a unital, purely infinite, simple, separable amenable  $C^*$ -algebra) as the codomain, we specialize to the case that the maps are injective  $*$ -homomorphisms. Such maps  $\varphi$  induce injective c.p.c. order zero maps  $(\varphi - t)_+$  for each  $t \in [0, 1)$  and so [7, Corollary 9.11] is applicable to them. (To see this, it suffices to show that  $(h_\varphi - t)_+$  is a non-zero scalar multiple of the projection  $\pi(1_A)$  where notation is as in Theorem 2.1 (note that, here,  $\pi_\varphi = \varphi$ ). Since  $h_\varphi$  is a projection (namely,  $\pi_\varphi(1_A)$ ),  $(h_\varphi - t)_+ = (1 - t)h_\varphi$ .)

**Theorem 2.21** ([7, Corollary 9.11]). *Let  $A$  be a unital, separable, amenable  $C^*$ -algebra and let  $B$  be a Kirchberg algebra. Let  $\phi_1, \phi_2 : A \rightarrow B_\omega$  be a pair of injective  $*$ -homomorphisms. Then, there exist contractions  $v_i, w_i \in B_\omega$ ,  $i = 1, 2$ , such that*

$$\begin{aligned}\phi_1(a) &= w_1\phi_2(a)w_1^* + w_2\phi_2(a)w_2^*, \\ \phi_2(a) &= v_1\phi_1(a)v_1^* + v_2\phi_1(a)v_2^*\end{aligned}$$

for all  $a \in A$ , and

$$v_1^*v_1 + v_2^*v_2 = w_1^*w_1 + w_2^*w_2 = 1_{B_\omega}$$

with  $w_i^*w_i \in \phi_2(A)'$  and  $v_i^*v_i \in \phi_1(A)'$ ,  $i = 1, 2$ .

**Definition 2.22.** Let  $A$  and  $B$  be unital  $C^*$ -algebras. Any two order zero maps  $\phi_1, \phi_2 : A \rightarrow B_\omega$  satisfying the conclusion of Theorem 2.21 will be said to be *coloured equivalent* ([7, Section 6]).

For the statement involving a finite algebra as the codomain, only one map is

assumed to be a homomorphism.

**Theorem 2.23** ([7, Theorem 6.6], [12]). *Let  $A$  be a unital, separable amenable  $C^*$ -algebra and let  $B$  be a unital, finite,  $\mathcal{Z}$ -stable, simple, separable amenable  $C^*$ -algebra. Let  $\phi_1 : A \rightarrow B_\omega$  be a totally full  $*$ -homomorphism (i.e.,  $\phi_1(a)$  generates  $B_\omega$  as a closed two-sided ideal for every non-zero  $a \in A$ ) and  $\phi_2 : A \rightarrow B_\omega$  be a c.p.c. order zero map with*

$$\tau \circ \phi_1 = \tau \circ \phi_2^m$$

for all  $\tau \in T(B_\omega)$  and all  $m \in \mathbb{N}$ . Then,  $\phi_1$  and  $\phi_2$  are coloured equivalent.

*Proof.* By [12, Theorem I],  $B$  has complemented partitions of unity. Now the proof is exactly as in [7, Theorem 6.6] using [12, Lemma 4.8] in place of [7, Theorem 5.5]. The same lemma also permits relaxing the tracial extreme boundary hypothesis in [7, Theorem 6.2].  $\square$

In practice, the  $*$ -homomorphism typically used in Theorem 2.23 is essentially the canonical embedding  $\iota_A : A \rightarrow A_\omega$  (e.g. [7, Corollary 6.5] and [7, Theorem 7.5]), which is totally full if  $A$  is simple. To see this, let a non-zero element  $a \in A$  be given. If  $A$  is unital,  $\iota_A(a)$  can be cut down to any coordinate with a projection. In the non-unital case, the coordinate projection can be replaced with an approximate unit in a coordinate. Since  $A$  is simple, a copy of  $A$  is generated in each coordinate of  $A_\omega$ . This shows  $\iota_A$  is totally full.

# Chapter 3

## Functionals preserving compact containment

The main result of this section characterizes when a functional on the Cuntz semigroup  $\text{Cu}(A)$  arising from a faithful densely defined lower semicontinuous trace on a commutative  $C^*$ -algebra,  $A$ , with the property that the rank map gives rise to an isomorphism  $\text{Cu}(A) \cong \text{Lsc}_\sigma(\widehat{A}, \overline{\mathbb{N}})$ , preserves compact containment. Let us first establish some necessary conditions for a functional to preserve compact containment in a more general context. Suppose  $\lambda$  is a functional (i.e. a generalized Cuntz category morphism into  $[0, \infty]$ ) on a positive Cuntz category object  $M$ . In order for  $\lambda$  to preserve compact containment,  $\lambda$  must be finite on any element that is compactly contained in some element of  $M$ . Moreover,  $\lambda$  must be finite on any element that is majorized by a finite sum of such elements. It is also necessary that  $\lambda$  vanish on compact elements. Now recall, for instance from [34, Proposition 4.2], that a lower semicontinuous trace  $\tau$  on a  $C^*$ -algebra induces a functional  $d_\tau$  on  $\text{Cu}(A)$  (the trace of the range projection of a positive element). (In later sections, we will not make a distinction between  $\tau$  and  $d_\tau$ .) The finiteness condition requires  $d_\tau$  to be finite-valued on the Pedersen ideal of  $A \otimes \mathcal{K}$ . If  $\tau$  is faithful, the latter condition requires  $\text{Cu}(A)$  to

have no non-zero compact elements. If  $A$  is stably finite, then, by [9, Corollary 3.6], this is equivalent to  $A$  being stably projectionless. If  $A$  is, moreover, commutative, this is equivalent to the spectrum of  $A$  not containing any non-empty compact open subsets. To see this, suppose  $K$  is a non-empty compact open subset of the spectrum of  $A$ . Then  $[\chi_K \otimes p]$  is a non-zero compact element of  $\text{Cu}(A)$  for any non-zero projection  $p \in \mathcal{K}$ . Conversely, suppose  $A \otimes \mathcal{K}$  contains a non-zero projection  $p$ . Then the map  $\eta : \widehat{A} \ni x \mapsto \|p(x)\| \in \mathbb{C}$  is continuous. Since  $p$  vanishes at infinity and is a non-zero projection, the same is true of  $\eta$ . This shows  $A$  contains a non-zero projection.

**Theorem 3.1.** *Suppose that  $\mu$  is a faithful densely defined lower semicontinuous trace on a commutative  $C^*$ -algebra,  $C_0(X)$ , with the property that  $\text{Cu}(C_0(X)) \cong \text{Lsc}_\sigma(X, \overline{\mathbb{N}})$  (the isomorphism consisting of the rank map) (for example,  $X$  is as in Theorem 2.17). Then the functional  $d_\mu : \text{Cu}(C_0(X)) \rightarrow [0, \infty]$  preserves compact containment if, and only if,  $X$  does not contain any non-empty compact open sets.*

*Proof.* Denote by  $\iota$  the natural set-theoretical mapping of  $\text{Lsc}_\sigma(X, \overline{\mathbb{N}})$  into  $\text{Cu}(C_0(X))$ :

$$f = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \chi_{\{f > k\}} \mapsto \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} [f_k \otimes p_k],$$

where  $f_k$  is a positive function in  $C_0(X)$  with support equal to  $\{f > k\}$  and  $(p_k)_{k=0}^{\infty}$  is a family of mutually orthogonal rank-one projections in  $\mathcal{K}$ . By hypothesis,  $\text{rank} : \text{Cu}(C_0(X)) \rightarrow \text{Lsc}_\sigma(X, \overline{\mathbb{N}})$  is an isomorphism. It follows, since as easily checked,  $\text{rank} \circ \iota = \text{id}_{\text{Lsc}_\sigma(X, \overline{\mathbb{N}})}$ , that  $\iota$  is an isomorphism. Denote by  $\mu : \text{Lsc}_\sigma(X, \overline{\mathbb{N}}) \rightarrow [0, \infty]$  the functional  $d_\mu \circ \iota$  and denote also by  $\mu$  the locally finite (as the Pedersen ideal of  $C_0(X)$  is  $C_c(X)$  and by [51, Theorem 1.3]) extended Radon measure induced by the densely defined lower semicontinuous trace  $\mu$ . Since  $d_\mu = \mu \circ \text{rank}$ , to show that  $d_\mu$  preserves compact containment, it suffices to show that  $\mu$  preserves compact containment. Suppose  $f$  and  $g$  are elements of  $\text{Lsc}_\sigma(X, \overline{\mathbb{N}})$  and that  $f \ll g$ . If

$\mu(f) = 0$ , then  $\mu(f) \ll \mu(g)$  because 0 is compactly contained in every element of  $[0, \infty]$ . Let us now consider the case where  $\mu(f) > 0$ . Since  $f$  is compactly contained in  $g$ ,  $f = \sum_{k=0}^n \chi_{\{f > k\}}$  for some  $n$  and there exists a compact set  $K \subseteq X$  such that (with abbreviated notation)  $\{f > 0\} \subseteq K \subseteq \{g > 0\}$ , and so  $\mu(f) \leq (n+1)\mu(K) < \infty$ . Now suppose  $\mu(f) = \mu(g)$ , i.e.,  $\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \mu(\{f > k\}) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \mu(\{g > k\})$ . Since  $f \leq g$  and since  $\mu(f)$  is finite, we have  $\mu(\{f > k\}) = \mu(\{g > k\})$  for each  $k$ . If  $K = \{g > 0\}$ , then since  $X$  contains no nonempty compact open sets,  $\{g > 0\}$  is empty. This implies  $g$  is zero and hence  $f$  is zero, which is a contradiction. In other words,  $K$  is properly contained in  $\{g > 0\}$ , and so there is a nonempty open subset of  $\{g > 0\}$  which is disjoint from  $K$  and therefore disjoint from  $\{f > 0\}$  as well. Since  $\mu$  is faithful,  $\mu(\{f > 0\}) < \mu(\{g > 0\})$  and so (since  $f \leq g$  and  $\mu(f)$  is finite)  $\mu(f) < \mu(g)$ .  $\square$

**Remark 3.2.** A different proof of Theorem 3.1, in the case of the half-open interval (the case pertinent to this paper), using the characterization of compact containment given in [4] is possible.

*Proof.* Suppose  $f, g \in \text{Lsc}((0, 1], \overline{\mathbb{N}})$  are such that  $f \ll g$ . Let  $\mu$  be as in the proof of Theorem 3.1 and suppose  $f$  is non-zero. Extend both  $f$  and  $g$  to be zero at zero and call these extensions  $\tilde{f}$  and  $\tilde{g}$ . It is easily checked that these extensions are lower semicontinuous and that  $\tilde{f}$  remains compactly contained in  $\tilde{g}$  in  $\text{Lsc}([0, 1], \overline{\mathbb{N}})$  (one could also use [41, Theorem 6.11], [14, Theorem 10.1], and [16, Theorem 5]). An application of [4, Proposition 5.5] at the point zero shows that  $\tilde{f}$  is zero on a neighbourhood of zero because  $\tilde{g}(0) = 0$ . This shows  $f$  is compactly supported, and since  $f$  is bounded,  $\mu(f)$  is finite. Since  $f$  is non-zero and extended positive integer-valued, its extension  $\tilde{f}$  is necessarily discontinuous. Its first point of discontinuity,  $x_0$ , must occur within  $(0, 1)$ . By [4, Proposition 5.5] applied to the point  $x_0$ , there exists a neighbourhood  $U$  of  $x_0$  which is contained in  $(0, 1)$  and a non-zero constant  $c \in \overline{\mathbb{N}}$  such that  $\tilde{f} \leq c \ll \tilde{g}$  on  $U$ . Since  $\tilde{f}$  is zero to the left of  $x_0$ , and since  $\tilde{f} \leq \tilde{g}$ , the integral of  $f$  on  $U$  is strictly less than the integral of  $c$  on  $U$ , and hence also of  $g$ .

This implies  $\mu(f) < \mu(g)$ . □

**Remark 3.3.** The characterization of compact containment in [18] can be used to show that if  $\tau$  is a faithful densely defined lower semicontinuous trace on a  $C^*$ -algebra  $A$ , then  $d_\tau$  preserves compact containment if, and only if,  $\text{Cu}(A)$  does not contain non-zero compact elements. Since the only case of interest in this paper is covered by Theorem 3.1, we will not prove this.

**Remark 3.4.** A simple reduction to the faithful case shows that if  $\tau$  is a (not necessarily faithful) densely defined lower semicontinuous trace on  $A$  and  $\text{Cu}(A/N_\tau)$  does not contain non-zero compact elements, where  $N_\tau$  denotes the kernel of  $\tau$ , then  $d_\tau$  preserves compact containment.

*Proof.* Denote by  $\pi_{N_\tau} : A \rightarrow A/N_\tau$  the canonical quotient map and let  $\eta : (A/N_\tau)_+ \rightarrow [0, \infty]$  be the faithful densely defined lower semicontinuous trace induced by  $\tau$ . Suppose  $\text{Cu}(A/N_\tau)$  contains no non-zero compact elements. Then, by Remark 3.3, the functional  $d_\eta : \text{Cu}(A/N_\tau) \rightarrow [0, \infty]$  preserves compact containment and by [18, Theorem 2],  $\pi_{N_\tau}$  induces a Cuntz category morphism  $\text{Cu}(\pi_{N_\tau}) : \text{Cu}(A) \rightarrow \text{Cu}(A/N_\tau)$ . Since the functional  $d_\tau$  factors through  $\text{Cu}(A/N_\tau)$ , via the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 \text{Cu}(A) & \xrightarrow{d_\tau} & [0, \infty] \\
 \searrow \text{Cu}(\pi_{N_\tau}) & & \nearrow d_\eta \\
 & \text{Cu}(A/N_\tau) & 
 \end{array}
 ,$$

it preserves compact containment. □

**Remark 3.5.** It is possible for the functional  $d_\tau$  induced by a lower semicontinuous trace  $\tau$  on a  $C^*$ -algebra  $A$  to fail to preserve compact containment even if  $d_\tau$  is assumed to vanish on every compact element of  $\text{Cu}(A)$ . For example, let  $\mu$  be the trace induced by the measure on  $(0, 1]$  which is the Lebesgue measure on  $(1/2, 1]$

and zero on  $(0, 1/2]$ . The condition that  $d_\mu$  vanishes on every compact element of  $\text{Cu}(C_0(0, 1])$  is automatic since  $C_0(0, 1]$  is stably projectionless.

To show that  $d_\mu$  fails to preserve compact containment, it suffices, by the proof of Theorem 3.1, to show that  $\mu$  fails to preserve compact containment. By a characterization of compact containment in the proof of Proposition 2.16,  $\chi_{(1/2, 1]}$  is compactly contained in  $\chi_{(0, 1]}$ , but  $\mu((1/2, 1]) = \mu((0, 1])$ .

# Chapter 4

## Coloured Classification of $C^*$ -algebras

**Theorem 4.1.** *Any two unital  $C^*$ -algebras which are coloured isomorphic have isomorphic tracial simplices. More precisely, the order zero maps implementing a coloured isomorphism induce mutually inverse isomorphisms of tracial simplices (as described in Section 2).*

*Proof.* Suppose  $A$  and  $B$  are unital  $C^*$ -algebras which are coloured isomorphic with notation as in 2. Since  $\varphi : A_\omega \rightarrow B_\omega$  and  $\psi : B_\omega \rightarrow A_\omega$  are c.p.c. order zero maps, they induce mappings of bounded traces  $\varphi^* : \mathbb{R}_+T(B_\omega) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+(A_\omega)$  and  $\psi^* : \mathbb{R}_+T(A_\omega) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+(B_\omega)$  ([70, Corollary 3.4]). In fact,  $\varphi^*$  and  $\psi^*$  are mutually inverse affine isomorphisms of the cones of bounded traces. To see this, let  $\tau \in T(A_\omega)$  and  $a \in A_\omega$  be given. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \tau(a) &\stackrel{(2.7)}{=} \tau \left( \sum_{i=1}^m u_i \psi \varphi(a) u_i^* \right) = \sum_{i=1}^m \tau(u_i \psi \varphi(a) u_i^*) \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^m \tau(\psi \varphi(a) u_i^* u_i) \stackrel{(2.8)}{=} \tau(\psi \varphi(a)) = \varphi^* \psi^*(\tau)(a). \end{aligned}$$

A symmetric calculation shows  $\psi^* \varphi^*(\tau) = \tau$  for each  $\tau \in T(B_\omega)$ .

Let us now show that the cones of bounded traces on  $A$  and  $B$  are affinely isomorphic. Denote by  $c_B : \mathbb{R}_+T(B) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+T(B_\omega)$  the embedding of bounded traces on  $B$  as bounded limit traces on  $B_\omega$  and by  $\iota_A : A \rightarrow A_\omega$  the canonical embedding of  $A$  into  $A_\omega$ . Denote by  $\iota_A^*$  the dual map induced on bounded traces and define  $\Phi : \mathbb{R}_+T(B) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+T(A)$  to be the composition

$$\mathbb{R}_+T(B) \xrightarrow{c_B} \mathbb{R}_+T(B_\omega) \xrightarrow{\varphi^*} \mathbb{R}_+T(A_\omega) \xrightarrow{\iota_A^*} \mathbb{R}_+T(A),$$

and define  $c_A : \mathbb{R}_+T(A) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+T(A_\omega)$ ,  $\iota_B : B \rightarrow B_\omega$ , and  $\Psi : \mathbb{R}_+T(A) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+T(B)$  similarly. Then  $\Phi$  and  $\Psi$  are weak\* continuous and affine, and for  $\tau \in \mathbb{R}_+T(B)$  and  $b \in B$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \Psi\Phi(\tau)(b) &= \iota_B^* \psi^* c_A \iota_A^* \varphi^* c_B(\tau) \\ &= \iota_B^* \psi^* \varphi^* c_B(\tau) = \iota_B^* c_B(\tau) = \tau. \end{aligned}$$

The second equality follows from the assumption that  $\varphi^*$  takes constant limit traces to constant limit traces so that  $c_A \iota_A^* \varphi^* c_B(\tau) = \varphi^* c_B(\tau)$ . The third equality follows from the previous paragraph. A symmetric calculation shows that  $\Phi\Psi(\tau) = \tau$  for  $\tau \in \mathbb{R}_+T(A)$ . This shows  $\Phi$  and  $\Psi$  are mutually inverse isomorphisms of the topological convex cones  $\mathbb{R}_+T(A)$  and  $\mathbb{R}_+T(B)$ .

To see that  $\Phi$  and  $\Psi$  preserve the tracial simplices, i.e., are isometries, note that they are contractions since they are the compositions of contractions. By the preceding paragraph,  $\Phi\Psi = \text{id}_{T(A)}$  and so we have  $1 = \|\Phi\Psi\| \leq \|\Phi\| \|\Psi\| \leq 1$  which implies that the norms of  $\Phi$  and  $\Psi$  are both one so these maps are isometries and therefore constitute an isomorphism of the tracial simplices.  $\square$

**Remark 4.2.** Coloured isomorphism (as defined in Section 2), extended in a natural way to the non-unital case, also preserves, up to isometric isomorphism, the topological convex cone of lower semicontinuous traces of [34]. To see this, we will need that

c.p.c. order zero maps induce mappings of lower semicontinuous traces. This follows from [70, Corollary 3.4]. The result now follows by extending the maps considered in the proof of Theorem 4.1 to the cone of lower semicontinuous traces rather than just the cone of bounded traces.

From this one can deduce that coloured isomorphism, in the present sense, preserves ideal lattices, up to isomorphism (cf. [11, Theorem 5.4.9]). Let notation be as in the proof of Theorem 4.1 with  $\Psi$  assumed to be an isomorphism of cones of lower semicontinuous traces. Recall, from [34], that there is an order-reversing bijection  $\alpha_A$  between closed two-sided ideals  $I$  of  $A$  and lower semicontinuous traces on  $A$  taking only the values 0 and  $\infty$  given by

$$I \mapsto \tau_I(x) := \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } x \in I^+ \\ \infty & \text{if } x \notin I^+ \end{cases}.$$

Such traces are characterized by the property  $\tau + \tau = \tau$ , and so  $\Psi$  restricts to a mapping between these traces. Therefore, the composition  $\alpha_B^{-1} \circ \Psi \circ \alpha_A$  gives an order-preserving bijection of ideal lattices. If the prime ideals of  $A$  and  $B$  are primitive, as is the case with separable or postliminary C\*-algebras ([55, Theorem A.50], [23, Theorem 4.4.5], and [23, Theorem 4.3.5]), then the primitive ideal spaces of  $A$  and  $B$  are homeomorphic. By Gelfand duality, it follows that coloured isomorphism (in the present sense) is a rigid notion for commutative C\*-algebras (i.e. if  $A$  is a commutative C\*-algebra which is coloured isomorphic, in the present sense, to a C\*-algebra  $B$ , then  $A$  is isomorphic to  $B$ ) (cf. [11, Proposition 5.4.13]). It is easily seen that coloured isomorphism, in the present sense, is also a rigid notion for finite-dimensional C\*-algebras (cf. [11, Proposition 5.4.12]).

**Theorem 4.3.** *Let  $B$  be a unital, simple, separable, exact,  $\mathcal{Z}$ -stable C\*-algebra with stable rank one and let  $D$  be a simple, unital AF algebra. Let  $\mu$  be a faithful trace*

on  $C_0(0, 1]$  with norm at most one and let  $\Phi : T(B) \rightarrow T(D)$  be a continuous affine map of simplices. There exists a c.p.c. order zero map  $\varphi : D \rightarrow B$  which satisfies the identity

$$\tau\varphi^n = \mu(t^n)\Phi(\tau) \quad (4.1)$$

for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  and for all  $\tau \in T(B)$ .

*Proof.* Let  $(D_i)_{i=1}^\infty$  be an increasing sequence of finite-dimensional C\*-subalgebras of  $D$  such that  $\bigcup_{i=1}^\infty D_i$  is dense in  $D$ . Denote by  $\iota_i$  the embedding of  $D_i$  into  $D$ . We claim that the map

$$\sigma_i : \text{Cu}(C_0(0, 1] \otimes D_i) \rightarrow \text{LAff}_+(TB)$$

determined by the rule

$$[d] \mapsto (\mu \otimes (\iota_i^* \circ \Phi(\cdot))) [d]$$

is a Cuntz category morphism.

We first show that  $\sigma_i$  in fact maps into  $\text{LAff}_+(TB)$ . The image of  $[d] \in \text{Cu}(C_0(0, 1] \otimes D_i)$  is clearly a positive real-valued affine function on  $TB$ . By Section 5 of [34],  $\sigma_i([d])$  is lower semicontinuous. By assumption,  $\mu$  is faithful and  $\iota_i^* \circ \Phi(\tau)$ , being the restriction of a faithful trace on  $D$  (as  $D$  is simple), is also faithful for each  $\tau \in TD_i$ . Therefore,  $(\mu \otimes (\iota_i^* \circ \Phi(\cdot))) [d]$  is (pointwise) strictly positive whenever  $[d]$  is non-zero. Since  $B$  is unital and separable,  $TB$  is metrizable. Therefore, by [1, Corollary I.1.4] and [65, Lemma 3.6],  $\sigma_i([d])$  is the pointwise supremum of an increasing sequence of continuous finite-valued functions in  $\text{LAff}_+(TB)$ . This shows that  $\sigma_i([d])$  is an element of  $\text{LAff}_+(TB)$ .

That  $\sigma_i$  is a generalized Cuntz category morphism follows from Section 4 of [34], so all that remains is to show  $\sigma_i$  preserves compact containment. Since  $D_i$  is a finite-dimensional C\*-algebra, we may identify it with a finite direct sum of matrix algebras,

$\bigoplus_{j=1}^k M_{n_j}$ . Using that  $C_0(0, 1] \otimes (\bigoplus_{j=1}^k M_{n_j})$  is isomorphic to  $\bigoplus_{j=1}^k (C_0(0, 1] \otimes M_{n_j})$ , we make the identification  $\text{Cu}(C_0(0, 1] \otimes D_i) = \bigoplus_{j=1}^k \text{Cu}(C_0(0, 1] \otimes M_{n_j})$ . By [18, Appendix 6], the embedding of  $C_0(0, 1]$  into the upper-left corner of  $C_0(0, 1] \otimes M_{n_j}$  induces an isomorphism at the level of the Cuntz category. For each  $j$ , we denote by  $e_j$  the non-zero minimal projection in the upper-left corner of  $M_{n_j}$ , and we denote by  $\rho_j$  the pure state on  $M_{n_j}$ . These maps induce an isomorphism  $\bigoplus_{j=1}^k \text{Cu}(C_0(0, 1] \otimes M_{n_j}) \cong \bigoplus_{j=1}^k \text{Cu}(C_0(0, 1])$ . By Theorem 2.17,  $\text{Cu}(C_0(0, 1]) \cong \text{Lsc}((0, 1], \overline{\mathbb{N}})$  and so under these isomorphisms, an arbitrary element  $[d] \in \text{Cu}(C_0(0, 1] \otimes D_i)$  is a direct sum of elements  $d_1, \dots, d_k$  of  $\text{Lsc}((0, 1], \overline{\mathbb{N}})$ . Pick  $d_j^i \in C_0(0, 1]$  to have support exactly  $\{d_j > i\}$  ( $j = 1, \dots, k$  and  $i \in \mathbb{N}$ ). Let  $(p_i)_{i=0}^\infty$  be a sequence of mutually orthogonal rank-one projections and let  $\iota : \text{Lsc}((0, 1], \overline{\mathbb{N}}) \rightarrow \text{Cu}(C_0(0, 1])$  and  $\mu : \text{Lsc}((0, 1], \overline{\mathbb{N}}) \rightarrow [0, \infty]$  be as in the proof of Theorem 3.1. The direct sum of copies of  $\iota$  gives an isomorphism  $\bigoplus_{j=1}^k \text{Lsc}((0, 1], \overline{\mathbb{N}}) \rightarrow \bigoplus_{j=1}^k \text{Cu}(C_0(0, 1])$ . Under the aforementioned isomorphisms, we have

$$[d] = \sum_{j=1}^k \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} [d_j^i \otimes e_j \otimes p_i].$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma_i([d]) &= \sum_{j=1}^k \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \mu(d_j^i) (\iota_i^* \circ \Phi(\cdot))(e_j) \text{Tr}(p_i) \\ &= \sum_{j=1}^k \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \mu(d_j^i) (\iota_i^* \circ \Phi(\cdot))(e_j) \\ &= \sum_{j=1}^k \mu(d_j) (\iota_i^* \circ \Phi(\cdot))(e_j). \end{aligned}$$

It is readily seen from this calculation that  $\sigma_i([d])$  is continuous. If  $\sigma_i([d])$  is bounded, then  $\sigma_i([d])$  is an affine extension of the continuous function taking on the real values  $\mu(d_j)$  at the extreme points  $\rho_j$  of  $TD_i$ . Since  $D_i$  is finite-dimensional,  $TD_i$  is a Bauer simplex and so  $\sigma_i([d])$  is continuous. If  $\sigma_i([d])$  is not bounded, then  $\mu(d_j)$

must be equal to  $\infty$  for some  $j$ . Since  $\iota_i^* \circ \Phi(\cdot)$  maps into the faithful part of  $TD_i$ ,  $\sigma_i([d])$  is the continuous function which is constant and equal to  $\infty$ .

Suppose  $[f] \ll [g]$  in  $\text{Cu}(C_0(0,1) \otimes D_i)$ . Let notation be as in the preceding two paragraphs for both  $[f]$  and  $[g]$ . If  $[f]$  is equal to zero, then  $\sigma_i([f])$  is also equal to zero and it is compactly contained in every element of  $\text{LAff}_+(TB)$  (and in particular,  $\sigma_i([g])$ ). If  $[f]$  is non-zero, then  $f_j \ll g_j$  for each  $j$  and  $f_j$  must be nonzero for some  $j$ . For this particular  $j$ ,  $\mu(f_j) < \mu(g_j)$ , by faithfulness of  $\mu$ , and for each  $j$ ,  $\mu(f_j)$  is finite and  $\mu(f_j) \leq \mu(g_j)$  (these facts are established in the proof of Theorem 3.1). It follows from the above computation and the fact that  $\iota_i^* \circ \Phi(\tau) > 0$  ( $\tau \in TB$ ) that  $\sigma_i([f]) < \sigma_i([g])$ . By the previous paragraph, this also shows that  $\sigma_i([f])$  is continuous and finite-valued. Since lower semicontinuous functions attain their infima on compact sets, it follows from the characterization of compact containment in Section 2 of [34] (or [65, Lemma 3.6]) that  $\sigma_i([f])$  is compactly contained in  $\sigma_i([g])$ . This shows  $\sigma_i$  is a Cuntz category morphism.

Since  $\text{LAff}_+(TB)$  is (in a natural way) a subobject of  $\text{Cu}(B)$  (Remark 2.15),  $\sigma_i$  extends to a Cuntz category morphism into  $\text{Cu}(B)$  which we denote again by  $\sigma_i$ . In order to lift  $\sigma_i$  to a  $*$ -homomorphism, let us check that  $\sigma_i$  takes a strictly positive element into an element majorized by a strictly positive element:

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma_i([t \otimes 1_{D_i}]) &= \sum_{j=1}^k \mu(\chi_{(0,1]})(\iota_i^* \circ \Phi(\cdot))[1_{M_{n_j}}] \leq \sum_{j=1}^k (\iota_i^* \circ \Phi(\cdot))[1_{M_{n_j}}] \\ &= \sum_{j=1}^k \Phi(\cdot)[\iota_i(1_{M_{n_j}})] = \Phi(\cdot)[\iota_i(1_{D_i})] \leq \widehat{[1_B]}. \end{aligned}$$

In the first inequality, we have used that  $\mu$  is of norm at most one. By Theorem 2.18, i.e., by [14, Theorem 4.1], as modified in the remark on page 29 of [14] (to replace  $[0, 1]$  with  $(0, 1)$ ), for each  $i$  there exists a  $*$ -homomorphism  $\pi_i : C_0(0, 1] \otimes D_i \rightarrow B$ , which is unique up to approximate unitary equivalence, such that  $\text{Cu}(\pi_i) = \sigma_i$ . Let us now denote by  $\iota_i$  the embedding of  $C_0(0, 1] \otimes D_i$  into  $C_0(0, 1] \otimes D_{i+1}$ . Then with

the embeddings  $\text{Cu}(\iota_i)$  on the top row and the identity map  $\text{Cu}(\text{id}_B)$  on the bottom row, we have the following one-sided intertwining at the level of the Cuntz category:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} \text{Cu}(C_0(0,1] \otimes D_1)) & \longrightarrow & \text{Cu}(C_0(0,1] \otimes D_2)) & \longrightarrow & \cdots & & \\ \sigma_1 \downarrow & & \sigma_2 \downarrow & & & & \\ \text{Cu}(B) & \longrightarrow & \text{Cu}(B) & \longrightarrow & \cdots & & \end{array}$$

The \*-homomorphisms  $\pi_i : C_0(0,1] \otimes D_i \rightarrow B$  may be corrected by inner automorphisms to obtain the following one-sided approximate intertwining (in the sense of [26, Section 2]):

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} C_0(0,1] \otimes D_1 & \longrightarrow & C_0(0,1] \otimes D_2 & \longrightarrow & \cdots & \longrightarrow & C_0(0,1] \otimes D \\ \pi_1 \downarrow & & \pi_2 \downarrow & & & & \downarrow \pi \\ B & \longrightarrow & B & \longrightarrow & \cdots & \longrightarrow & B \end{array}$$

By [26, Remark 2.3], there exists a \*-homomorphism  $\pi : C_0(0,1] \otimes D \rightarrow B$  as in the above diagram and the map  $\varphi : D \rightarrow B$  determined by the rule  $\varphi(d) := \pi(t \otimes d)$  is c.p.c. order zero, by Corollary 2.5. Let us now verify that  $\varphi$  satisfies the required tracial identity. By continuity, it suffices to check that the the tracial identity holds at the finite stages. Let  $d \in D_i$  and let  $\tau \in TB$  be given. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \tau \varphi^n(d) &\stackrel{(2.6)}{=} \tau \pi(t^n \otimes d) = \tau \lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \pi_i(t^n \otimes d) \\ &= \lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} (\mu \otimes (\iota_i^* \circ \Phi)(\tau))(t^n \otimes d) \\ &= \mu(t^n) \Phi(\tau)(d). \end{aligned}$$

The second equality follows from the one-sided approximate intertwining, and the third equality follows from continuity of  $\tau$  and by definition of  $\sigma_i$ . (Note that  $\text{Cu}(\pi) = \lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \sigma_i$ , but we do not actually use this.)  $\square$

**Lemma 4.4.** *There exists a sequence of fully supported Radon measures  $\mu_k$  on  $(0,1]$*

with total mass one such that

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \mu_k(t) = 1. \quad (4.2)$$

Necessarily, this holds also for  $t^n$ , rather than just  $t$ , for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ .

*Proof.* Pick measures which are increasingly weighted to the right.  $\square$

**Theorem 4.5.** *Any two unital, simple,  $\mathcal{Z}$ -stable, separable amenable C\*-algebras satisfying the UCT with isomorphic tracial simplices are coloured isomorphic.*

*Proof.* We first consider the purely infinite case. This part of the theorem holds without assuming the UCT. Let  $A$  and  $B$  be Kirchberg algebras. By [17, Corollary 2], [13, Theorem 3.1], and [69, Proposition 7], separable amenable C\*-algebras are exact. Hence by Theorem 2.19, there is a unital embedding of  $A$  into  $\mathcal{O}_2$ . Since  $B$  is purely infinite,  $K_0(B)$  consists of the Murray-von Neumann equivalence classes of non-zero properly infinite projections in  $B$  ([20, Theorem 1.4]). In particular, there is a non-zero properly infinite projection  $p \in B$  whose  $K_0$ -class is equal to zero. It follows from [61, Proposition 4.2.3] that there exists a unital embedding of  $\mathcal{O}_2$  into  $pBp$  and therefore a (possibly) non-unital embedding into  $B$ . Denote by  $\varphi : A \rightarrow B$  the composition of these embeddings and construct  $\psi : B \rightarrow A$  symmetrically. Then since  $\varphi$  and  $\psi$  are injective and therefore isometric \*-homomorphisms, the ultrapower maps  $\psi\varphi : A_\omega \rightarrow B_\omega$  and  $\varphi\psi : B_\omega \rightarrow A_\omega$  induced by their compositions are also isometric and in particular injective. By two applications of Theorem 2.21, the pairs  $\psi\varphi\iota_A$  and  $\iota_A$ ; and  $\varphi\psi\iota_B$  and  $\iota_B$  are each coloured equivalent, where  $\iota_A$  (resp.  $\iota_B$ ) denote the canonical embedding of  $A$  (resp.  $B$ ) into its ultrapower.

Now (as we may, in view of Theorem 2.9), suppose that  $A$  and  $B$  are two finite C\*-algebras satisfying the hypotheses. By Theorem 2.20, there exists a unital embedding  $\alpha_A$  of  $A$  into a separable, unital, simple AF algebra  $D$  such that the induced map  $\alpha_A^* : T(D) \rightarrow T(A)$  is an isomorphism of tracial simplices. This, combined with an

isomorphism  $T(B) \rightarrow T(A)$  (assumed to exist), yields an isomorphism  $\Phi : T(B) \rightarrow T(D)$ . Pairing this with the faithful tracial states  $\mu_k$  on  $C_0(0, 1]$  of Lemma 4.4, one obtains by Theorem 4.3 a sequence of c.p.c. order zero maps  $\varphi_k : D \rightarrow B$  satisfying the identity

$$\tau\varphi_k^n = \mu_k(t^n)\Phi(\tau) \quad (4.3)$$

for every  $k, n \in \mathbb{N}$  and each  $\tau \in T(B)$ . Denote by  $\varphi : A_\omega \rightarrow B_\omega$  the c.p.c. order zero map induced between the ultrapowers by the maps  $\varphi_k\alpha_A : A \rightarrow B$ . As above, by [70, Corollary 3.4],  $\varphi$  induces a mapping  $\varphi^*$  of bounded traces on  $B_\omega$  into bounded traces on  $A_\omega$ . Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} \tau\varphi^n &\stackrel{(2.3)}{=} \lim_{k \rightarrow \omega} \tau_k(\varphi_k^n\alpha_A) \\ &\stackrel{(4.3)}{=} \alpha_A^*(\lim_{k \rightarrow \omega} (\mu_k(t^n)\Phi(\tau_k))) \stackrel{(4.2)}{=} \lim_{k \rightarrow \omega} (\alpha_A^*\Phi)(\tau_k) \end{aligned} \quad (4.4)$$

for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  and limit trace  $\tau = \lim_{k \rightarrow \omega} \tau_k \in T_\omega(B_\omega)$ .

Symmetrically, there is, again by Theorem 2.20, a unital embedding  $\alpha_B$  of  $B$  into a unital, simple, separable AF algebra  $E$  such that the induced map  $\alpha_B^* : T(E) \rightarrow T(B)$  is an isomorphism of tracial simplices. Using as above the isomorphism  $\Psi := (\alpha_A^*\Phi\alpha_B^*)^{-1} : T(A) \rightarrow T(E)$  and the faithful tracial states  $\mu_k$ , we obtain a c.p.c. order zero map  $\psi : B_\omega \rightarrow A_\omega$  such that

$$\tau\psi^n = \lim_{k \rightarrow \omega} (\alpha_B^*\Psi)(\tau_k) \quad (4.5)$$

for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  and limit trace  $\tau = \lim_{k \rightarrow \omega} \tau_k \in T_\omega(A_\omega)$ . So for all limit traces

$\tau = \lim_{k \rightarrow \omega} \tau_k \in T_\omega(A_\omega)$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \tau(\psi\varphi)^n &\stackrel{(2.3)}{=} \tau\psi^n\varphi^n \stackrel{(4.5)}{=} (\varphi^n)^*(\lim_{k \rightarrow \omega}(\alpha_B^*\Psi)(\tau_k)) \\ &\stackrel{(4.4)}{=} \alpha_A^*\Phi(\lim_{k \rightarrow \omega}(\alpha_B^*\Psi)(\tau_k)) = \lim_{k \rightarrow \omega} \alpha_A^*\Phi\alpha_B^*\Psi(\tau_k) = \tau \end{aligned} \tag{4.6}$$

where the last equality follows from the definition of  $\Psi$ .

Weak\* density of  $T_\omega(A)$  in  $T(A_\omega)$  (Theorem 2.7) extends the identity (4.6) to all tracial states on  $A_\omega$ . Since  $A$  is simple, the canonical embedding of  $A$  into  $A_\omega$  is totally full. Hence by Theorem 2.23,  $\psi\varphi\iota_A$  and  $\iota_A$  are coloured equivalent. By a symmetric argument,  $\varphi\psi\iota_B$  and  $\iota_B$  are coloured equivalent. It follows immediately from (4.4) and (4.5) that  $\varphi$  and  $\psi$  preserve constant limit traces. This shows  $A$  is coloured isomorphic to  $B$  (with  $m$  and  $n$  of (2.7) equal to two).  $\square$

**Corollary 4.6.** *Let  $A$  and  $B$  be classifiable  $C^*$ -algebras (i.e., ones satisfying the hypotheses of Theorem 4.5). Then the following statements are equivalent:*

- (1) *There exist constant limit trace preserving c.p.c. order zero maps  $\varphi : A_\omega \rightarrow B_\omega$  and  $\psi : B_\omega \rightarrow A_\omega$  such that  $\varphi^n$  and  $\psi^n$  induce mutually inverse isomorphisms of  $T(A_\omega)$  and  $T(B_\omega)$  for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ .*
- (2) *There exist constant limit trace preserving c.p.c. order zero maps  $\varphi : A_\omega \rightarrow B_\omega$  and  $\psi : B_\omega \rightarrow A_\omega$  such that  $\varphi^n$  and  $\psi^n$  induce mutually inverse isomorphisms of  $T(A_\omega)$  and  $T(B_\omega)$  for some  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ .*
- (3)  *$A$  and  $B$  are coloured isomorphic (Definition 2.11).*
- (4)  *$A$  and  $B$  are minimalist coloured isomorphic (Definition 2.13).*
- (5)  *$T(A)$  is isomorphic to  $T(B)$ .*

*Every isomorphism of  $T(A)$  with  $T(B)$  arises from a coloured isomorphism of  $A$  and  $B$ .*

*Proof.* (1)  $\implies$  (2) is clear. (2)  $\implies$  (1) Let  $\pi : A_\omega \rightarrow A^\omega$  denote the canonical quotient map. By assumption, there exists an  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $\tau\psi^n\varphi^n = \tau$  for all  $\tau \in T(A_\omega)$ . By Remark 2.8, this implies  $\pi\psi^n\varphi^n = \pi\text{id}_{A_\omega}$ . In particular, we will use that  $\pi\psi^n\varphi^n(1_{A_\omega}) = \pi(1_{A_\omega})$  below. Since

$$\pi\psi\varphi(1_{A_\omega}) = \pi\psi\varphi(1_{A_\omega}^n) = (\pi\psi\varphi)^n(1_{A_\omega}) = \pi\psi^n\varphi^n(1_{A_\omega}) = \pi(1_{A_\omega})$$

is a projection, it then follows from Corollary 2.2 that  $\pi\psi\varphi$  is a  $*$ -homomorphism. We have used Corollary 2.3 and Corollary 2.4 for the second and third equalities. Therefore,  $\pi\psi^k\varphi^k = \pi(\psi\varphi)^k = (\pi\psi\varphi)^k = \pi\psi\varphi$  for each  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ . By Remark 2.8 again, this implies  $\tau\psi^k\varphi^k = \tau$  for each  $\tau \in T(A_\omega)$  and each  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ . A symmetric argument shows that  $\varphi^k$  and  $\psi^k$  induce mutually inverse isomorphisms of  $T(A_\omega)$  and  $T(B_\omega)$ .

(1)  $\implies$  (3) follows (without the UCT assumption) from two applications of Theorem 2.23: once with  $\psi\varphi\iota_A$  and  $\iota_A$  where  $\iota_A$  is the constant sequence embedding of  $A$  into  $A_\omega$  and once more with  $\varphi\psi\iota_B$  and  $\iota_B$ . (3)  $\implies$  (4) is immediate. (4)  $\implies$  (5) is a special case of Theorem 4.1. (5)  $\implies$  (1) is given by (4.6) in the proof of Theorem 4.5 (along with the analogous statement for  $(\varphi\psi)^n$ ) and Corollary 2.4.

The last statement follows from the proof of Theorem 4.5, which constructs a coloured isomorphism using a given isomorphism of  $T(A)$  with  $T(B)$ , and the proof of Theorem 4.1, which recovers the given isomorphism of  $T(A)$  with  $T(B)$  from the constructed coloured isomorphism.  $\square$

We aren't sure to what extent the c.p.c. order zero maps involved in a coloured isomorphism are unique.

**Corollary 4.7.** *Let  $A$  be a classifiable  $C^*$ -algebra. If  $T(A) = \emptyset$ , then  $A$  is coloured isomorphic to  $\mathcal{O}_2$ . If  $T(A) \neq \emptyset$ , then  $A$  is coloured isomorphic to a unital simple AF algebra.*

*Proof.* If  $T(A) = \emptyset$ , then by Theorem 4.5  $A$  is coloured isomorphic to  $\mathcal{O}_2$ . If  $T(A) \neq$

$\emptyset$ , by [5, Theorem 3.10], there exists a unital AF algebra  $B$  whose tracial simplex isomorphic to  $T(A)$ . The conclusion now follows from Theorem 4.5.  $\square$

The existence step in establishing finite nuclear dimension from  $\mathcal{Z}$ -stability ([7, Lemma 7.4] and [12, Lemma 5.2]) is the construction of a sequence of c.p.c. maps  $\phi_i : A \rightarrow A$ , where  $A$  is a finite, unital, simple,  $\mathcal{Z}$ -stable, separable amenable C\*-algebra, which factorize through finite-dimensional C\*-algebras  $F_i$  as

$$\begin{array}{ccc} A & \xrightarrow{\phi_i} & A \\ & \searrow \theta_i & \nearrow \eta_i \\ & & F_i \end{array}$$

with  $\theta_i$  c.p.c. and  $\eta_i$  c.p.c. order zero, such that the induced maps  $(\theta_i)_{i=1}^\infty : A \rightarrow \prod_\omega F_i$  and  $\Phi = (\phi_i)_{i=1}^\infty : A \rightarrow A_\omega$  are order zero and

$$\tau\Phi(a) = \tau(a)$$

for each  $a \in A$  and each  $\tau \in T(A_\omega)$ .

More precisely, the conclusion in [12, Lemma 5.2] is that  $\Phi$  agrees with the canonical embedding  $\iota_A$  of  $A$  into  $A_\omega$  in the uniform tracial ultrapower  $A^\omega$  while the conclusion in [7, Lemma 7.4] is that  $\tau\Phi = \tau\iota_A$  for each  $\tau \in T(A_\omega)$ . These conclusions are equivalent by Remark 2.8 and Theorem 2.7.

In the presence of the UCT, a one-sided formulation of Theorem 4.5 (Corollary 4.8 below) can be viewed as a generalization of the existence step mentioned earlier since the conclusion follows from the special case that  $B$  is an AF algebra. Since the nuclear dimension of  $B$  is zero ([71, Remark 2.2 (iii)]), there exist finite-dimensional C\*-algebras  $F_i$  and c.p.c. maps  $\rho_i : B \rightarrow F_i$  and c.p.c. order zero maps  $\sigma_i : F_i \rightarrow B$

such that the triangle below commutes approximately.

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 A & \xrightarrow{\phi_i} & A \\
 \varphi_i \downarrow & & \uparrow \psi_i \\
 B & \xrightarrow{\text{id}_B} & B \\
 \rho_i \searrow & & \nearrow \sigma_i \\
 & F_i &
 \end{array}$$

The maps  $\theta_i : A \rightarrow F_i$  on the left side of the diagram and the maps  $\eta_i : F_i \rightarrow A$  on the right side of the diagram satisfy the conclusion of the aforementioned existence step.

**Corollary 4.8.** *Let  $A$  and  $B$  be finite classifiable C\*-algebras with isomorphic tracial simplices. Then there exist sequences of c.p.c. order zero maps  $\varphi_i : A \rightarrow B$  and  $\psi_i : B \rightarrow A$ ,  $i \in \mathbb{N}$ , such that the induced c.p.c. order zero maps  $\varphi : A_\omega \rightarrow B_\omega$  and  $\psi : B_\omega \rightarrow A_\omega$  induce mutually inverse isomorphisms of  $T(A_\omega)$  and  $T(B_\omega)$ . In particular,*

$$\tau\psi\varphi(a) = \tau(a)$$

for each  $a \in A$  and each  $\tau \in T(A_\omega)$ .

*Proof.* This is a special case of Corollary 4.6. □

Corollary 4.8 gives rise to the completely positive approximation property below. The nuclear dimension calculation in Corollary 4.9 is not new – in fact, it holds without the UCT assumption and without unitalness ([12], [14]), and our proof of it using the UCT still relies on the main technical results of [7] and [12] that were used in establishing finite nuclear dimension from  $\mathcal{Z}$ -stability in the context of the Toms-Winter conjecture ([12], [14]).

**Corollary 4.9.** *Let  $A$  and  $B$  be finite classifiable C\*-algebras with isomorphic tracial simplices. Then there exist a sequence  $\varphi_i : A \rightarrow B$  of c.p.c. order zero maps and a*

sequence  $\xi_i : B \rightarrow A$  such that  $\xi_i$  is a sum of two c.p.c. order zero maps from  $B$  to  $A$ ,  $i \in \mathbb{N}$ , and such that the following diagram approximately commutes:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} A & \xrightarrow{\text{id}_A} & A \\ \varphi_i \searrow & & \nearrow \xi_i \\ & B & \end{array} .$$

Since a possible choice for  $B$  is an AF algebra, it follows that the nuclear dimension of  $A$  is at most one. If  $A$  is not an AF algebra, then the nuclear dimension of  $A$  is exactly one.

*Proof.* Let notation for  $\varphi_i$ ,  $\psi_i$ ,  $\varphi$ , and  $\psi$  be as in Corollary 4.8, and let  $h$  be a positive element with full spectrum in  $\mathcal{Z}$ . Then since  $\iota_A$  is totally full and since  $\iota_A$  and  $\psi\varphi\iota_A$  agree on traces,  $\iota_A \otimes h$  and  $\psi\varphi\iota_A \otimes h$  are approximately unitarily equivalent, by [12, Lemma 4.8]. Since  $1_{\mathcal{Z}} - h$  is also a positive element of  $\mathcal{Z}$  with full spectrum,  $\iota_A \otimes (1_{\mathcal{Z}} - h)$  and  $\psi\varphi\iota_A \otimes (1_{\mathcal{Z}} - h)$  are also approximately unitarily equivalent. Therefore, there exist unitaries  $u_1$  and  $u_2 \in (A \otimes \mathcal{Z})_\omega$  such that  $a \otimes h = u_1(\psi\varphi(a) \otimes h)u_1^*$  and  $a \otimes (1_{\mathcal{Z}} - h) = u_2(\psi\varphi(a) \otimes (1_{\mathcal{Z}} - h))u_2^*$ . Since  $\mathcal{Z}$  is strongly self-absorbing ([42, Theorem 8.7]) and therefore  $K_1$ -injective ([60, Theorem 6.7], [56, Theorem 10.12]), there is a \*-homomorphism  $\theta : A \otimes \mathcal{Z} \rightarrow A_\omega$  such that  $\theta(a \otimes 1_{\mathcal{Z}}) = a$  for each  $a \in A$ , by [67, Theorem 2.3]. Let  $h_1 := h$  and  $h_2 := 1_{\mathcal{Z}} - h$ . Taking representative sequences of \*-homomorphisms  $\theta_i : A \otimes \mathcal{Z} \rightarrow A$  (which exist by the proof of [67, Theorem 2.3]) and of unitaries  $(u_1^{(i)})_{i=1}^\infty$  and  $(u_2^{(i)})_{i=1}^\infty$  in  $A \otimes \mathcal{Z}$  corresponding to  $u_1$  and  $u_2$ , we have the following approximately commutative diagram.

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} A & \xrightarrow{\text{id}_A} & A & \xleftarrow{\theta_i} & A \otimes \mathcal{Z} \\ \varphi_i \searrow & & & & \uparrow \sum_{k=1}^2 u_k^{(i)} (\cdot \otimes h_k) u_k^{(i)*} \\ & & B & \xrightarrow{\psi_i} & A \end{array}$$

The maps  $\varphi_i : A \rightarrow B$  and the maps  $\xi_i : B \rightarrow A$  which factor through  $A$  and  $A \otimes \mathcal{Z}$

give the desired completely positive approximation property.

Let us now specialize to the case that  $B$  is an AF algebra with  $T(B) \cong T(A)$ , which exists by [5, Theorem 3.10]. Since the nuclear dimension of  $B$  is zero ([71, Remark 2.2 (iii)]), there exist c.p.c. maps  $\rho_i : B \rightarrow F_i$  into finite-dimensional C\*-algebras  $F_i$  and c.p.c. order zero maps  $\sigma_i : F_i \rightarrow B$  which make the following diagram approximately commute

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 A & \xrightarrow{\text{id}_A} & A \\
 \varphi_i \downarrow & & \uparrow \xi_i \\
 B & \xrightarrow{\text{id}_B} & B \\
 \searrow \rho_i & & \nearrow \sigma_i \\
 & F_i &
 \end{array}
 .$$

Since the maps  $\rho_i \varphi_i : A \rightarrow F_i$  are and the maps  $\xi_i \sigma_i : F_i \rightarrow A$  are c.p. and since  $\xi_i \sigma_i$  is a sum of two c.p.c. order zero maps,  $A$  has nuclear dimension at most one ([12, Section 1.1]). If  $A$  is not an AF algebra, then the nuclear dimension of  $A$  is exactly one ([71, Remark 2.2 (iii)]).  $\square$

**Question 4.10.** All that is used about the (multiplicative) AF embeddings in Theorem 4.5 is that they are c.p.c. order zero maps which induce isomorphisms of simplices. In fact, it would be enough for each AF embedding to be replaced by a sequence of order zero maps which induce an isomorphism of tracial simplices in the sense described in Section 2. If this could be done without the UCT, then one would have Theorem 4.5 for classifiable C\*-algebras not necessarily satisfying the UCT, as the UCT assumption is only used to produce AF embeddings. If  $A$  and  $B$  are unital, simple, exact,  $\mathcal{Z}$ -stable, separable C\*-algebras with stable rank one, is there an order zero map  $\varphi : A \rightarrow B$  realizing prescribed tracial data as in Theorem 4.3?

# Bibliography

- [1] Erik M. Alfsen, *Compact convex sets and boundary integrals*, Ergebnisse der Mathematik und ihrer Grenzgebiete, Band 57, Springer-Verlag, New York-Heidelberg, 1971. MR0445271
- [2] Tsuyoshi Andô, *On fundamental properties of a Banach space with a cone*, Pacific J. Math. **12** (1962), 1163–1169. MR150572
- [3] Ramon Antoine, Francesc Perera, Leonel Robert, and Hannes Thiel,  *$C^*$ -algebras of stable rank one and their Cuntz semigroups*, arXiv preprint arXiv:1809.03984 (2018).
- [4] Ramon Antoine, Francesc Perera, and Luis Santiago, *Pullbacks,  $C(X)$ -algebras, and their Cuntz semigroup*, J. Functional Analysis **260** (2011), no. 10, 2844–2880. MR2774057
- [5] Bruce E. Blackadar, *Traces on simple AF  $C^*$ -algebras*, J. Functional Analysis **38** (1980), no. 2, 156–168. MR587906
- [6] Bruce E. Blackadar and David E. Handelman, *Dimension functions and traces on  $C^*$ -algebras*, J. Functional Analysis **45** (1982), no. 3, 297–340. MR650185
- [7] Joan Bosa, Nathaniel P. Brown, Yasuhiko Sato, Aaron Tikuisis, Stuart White, and Wilhelm Winter, *Covering dimension of  $C^*$ -algebras and 2-coloured classification*, Mem. Amer. Math. Soc. **257** (2019), no. 1233, vii+97. MR3908669
- [8] Ola Bratteli, *Inductive limits of finite dimensional  $C^*$ -algebras*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **171** (1972), 195–234. MR312282
- [9] Nathaniel P. Brown and Alin Ciuperca, *Isomorphism of Hilbert modules over stably finite  $C^*$ -algebras*, J. Funct. Anal. **257** (2009), no. 1, 332–339. MR2523343
- [10] Nathaniel P. Brown, Francesc Perera, and Andrew S. Toms, *The Cuntz semigroup, the Elliott conjecture, and dimension functions on  $C^*$ -algebras*, J. Reine Angew. Math. **621** (2008), 191–211. MR2431254

- [11] Jorge Castillejos, *Decomposable approximations and coloured isomorphisms for  $C^*$ -algebras*, Glasgow Thesis Service, 2016. Ph.D. Thesis, University of Glasgow.
- [12] Jorge Castillejos, Samuel Evington, Aaron Tikuisis, Stuart White, and Wilhelm Winter, *Nuclear dimension of simple  $C^*$ -algebras*, *Invent. Math.* **224** (2021), no. 1, 245–290. MR4228503
- [13] Man Duen Choi and Edward G. Effros, *Nuclear  $C^*$ -algebras and the approximation property*, *Amer. J. Math.* **100** (1978), no. 1, 61–79. MR482238
- [14] Alin Ciuperca and George A. Elliott, *A remark on invariants for  $C^*$ -algebras of stable rank one*, *Int. Math. Res. Not. IMRN* **2008** (2008), no. 5, Art. ID rnm 158, 33 pages. MR2418289
- [15] Alin Ciuperca, George A. Elliott, and Luis Santiago, *On inductive limits of type-I  $C^*$ -algebras with one-dimensional spectrum*, *Int. Math. Res. Not. IMRN* **2011** (2011), no. 11, 2577–2615. MR2806590
- [16] Alin Ciuperca, Leonel Robert, and Luis Santiago, *The Cuntz semigroup of ideals and quotients and a generalized Kasparov stabilization theorem*, *J. Operator Theory* **64** (2010), no. 1, 155–169. MR2669433
- [17] Alain Connes, *On the cohomology of operator algebras*, *J. Functional Analysis* **28** (1978), no. 2, 248–253. MR0493383
- [18] Kristofer T. Coward, George A. Elliott, and Cristian Ivanescu, *The Cuntz semigroup as an invariant for  $C^*$ -algebras*, *J. Reine Angew. Math.* **623** (2008), 161–193. MR2458043
- [19] Joachim Cuntz, *Dimension functions on simple  $C^*$ -algebras*, *Math. Ann.* **233** (1978), no. 2, 145–153. MR467332
- [20] ———,  *$K$ -theory for certain  $C^*$ -algebras. II*, *J. Operator Theory* **5** (1981), no. 1, 101–108. MR613050
- [21] Marius Dadarlat and Søren Eilers, *On the classification of nuclear  $C^*$ -algebras*, *Proc. London Math. Soc. (3)* **85** (2002), no. 1, 168–210. MR1901373
- [22] Marius Dadarlat and Andrew S. Toms, *Ranks of operators in simple  $C^*$ -algebras*, *J. Funct. Anal.* **259** (2010), no. 5, 1209–1229. MR2652186
- [23] Jacques Dixmier,  *$C^*$ -algebras*, North-Holland Publishing Co., Amsterdam-New York-Oxford, 1977. Translated from the French by Francis Jellet. North-Holland Mathematical Library, Vol. 15. MR0458185

- [24] Edward G. Effros, David E. Handelman, and Chao Liang Shen, *Dimension groups and their affine representations*, Amer. J. Math. **102** (1980), no. 2, 385–407. MR564479
- [25] George A. Elliott, *On the classification of inductive limits of sequences of semisimple finite-dimensional algebras*, J. Algebra **38** (1976), no. 1, 29–44. MR397420
- [26] ———, *On the classification of  $C^*$ -algebras of real rank zero*, J. Reine Angew. Math. **443** (1993), 179–219. MR1241132
- [27] ———, *On the Thiel diagonal semigroup of a  $C^*$ -algebra of stable rank one*, In preparation. (2022).
- [28] George A. Elliott and David E. Evans, *The structure of the irrational rotation  $C^*$ -algebra*, Ann. of Math. (2) **138** (1993), no. 3, 477–501. MR1247990
- [29] George A. Elliott, Guihua Gong, and Liangqing Li, *On the classification of simple inductive limit  $C^*$ -algebras. II. The isomorphism theorem*, Invent. Math. **168** (2007), no. 2, 249–320. MR2289866
- [30] George A. Elliott, Guihua Gong, Huaxin Lin, and Zhuang Niu, *On the classification of simple amenable  $C^*$ -algebras with finite decomposition rank, II*, preprint arXiv:1507.03437 (2015).
- [31] ———, *The classification of simple separable  $KK$ -contractible  $C^*$ -algebras with finite nuclear dimension*, J. Geom. Phys. **158** (2020), 103861, 51. MR4146445
- [32] George A. Elliott, Cristian Ivanescu, and Dan Kucerovsky, *The Cuntz semigroup of the tensor product of  $C^*$ -algebras*, C. R. Math. Acad. Sci. Soc. R. Can. **41** (2019), no. 2, 32–44. MR4045412
- [33] George A. Elliott and Terry A. Loring,  *$AF$  embeddings of  $C(\mathbf{T}^2)$  with a prescribed  $K$ -theory*, J. Funct. Anal. **103** (1992), no. 1, 1–25. MR1144678
- [34] George A. Elliott, Leonel Robert, and Luis Santiago, *The cone of lower semicontinuous traces on a  $C^*$ -algebra*, Amer. J. Math. **133** (2011), no. 4, 969–1005. MR2823868
- [35] L. Terrell Gardner, *An inequality characterizes the trace*, Canadian Journal of Mathematics **31** (1979), no. 6, 1322–1328.
- [36] Guihua Gong, *On the classification of simple inductive limit  $C^*$ -algebras. I. The reduction theorem*, Doc. Math. **7** (2002), 255–461. MR2014489
- [37] Guihua Gong and Huaxin Lin, *On classification of non-unital amenable simple  $C^*$ -algebras, II*, J. Geom. Phys. **158** (2020), 103865, 102. MR4157681

- [38] Guihua Gong, Huaxin Lin, and Zhuang Niu, *A classification of finite simple amenable  $\mathcal{Z}$ -stable  $C^*$ -algebras, I:  $C^*$ -algebras with generalized tracial rank one*, C. R. Math. Acad. Sci. Soc. R. Can. **42** (2020), no. 3, 63–450. MR4215379
- [39] ———, *A classification of finite simple amenable  $\mathcal{Z}$ -stable  $C^*$ -algebras, II:  $C^*$ -algebras with rational generalized tracial rank one*, C. R. Math. Acad. Sci. Soc. R. Can. **42** (2020), no. 4, 451–539. MR4215380
- [40] Uffe Haagerup, *Quasitraces on exact  $C^*$ -algebras are traces*, C. R. Math. Acad. Sci. Soc. R. Can. **36** (2014), no. 2-3, 67–92. MR3241179
- [41] Cristian Ivanescu, *On the classification of continuous trace  $C^*$ -algebras with spectrum homeomorphic to the closed interval  $[0, 1]$* , Advances in operator algebras and mathematical physics, 2005, pp. 109–135. MR2238286
- [42] Xinhui Jiang and Hongbing Su, *On a simple unital projectionless  $C^*$ -algebra*, Amer. J. Math. **121** (1999), no. 2, 359–413. MR1680321
- [43] Eberhard Kirchberg and N. Christopher Phillips, *Embedding of exact  $C^*$ -algebras in the Cuntz algebra  $\mathcal{O}_2$* , J. Reine Angew. Math. **525** (2000), 17–53. MR1780426
- [44] Eberhard Kirchberg and Mikael Rørdam, *Central sequence  $C^*$ -algebras and tensorial absorption of the Jiang-Su algebra*, J. Reine Angew. Math. **695** (2014), 175–214. MR3276157
- [45] Huaxin Lin, *Classification of simple  $C^*$ -algebras with unique traces*, Amer. J. Math. **120** (1998), no. 6, 1289–1315. MR1657178
- [46] ———, *Classification of simple  $C^*$ -algebras and higher dimensional noncommutative tori*, Ann. of Math. (2) **157** (2003), no. 2, 521–544. MR1973053
- [47] Joram Lindenstrauss, *Extension of compact operators*, Mem. Amer. Math. Soc. **48** (1964), 112. MR179580
- [48] Hiroki Matui and Yasuhiko Sato, *Decomposition rank of UHF-absorbing  $C^*$ -algebras*, Duke Math. J. **163** (2014), no. 14, 2687–2708. MR3273581
- [49] Ping Wong Ng and Leonel Robert, *Sums of commutators in pure  $C^*$ -algebras*, Münster J. Math. **9** (2016), no. 1, 121–154. MR3549546
- [50] Narutaka Ozawa, *Dixmier approximation and symmetric amenability for  $C^*$ -algebras*, J. Math. Sci. Univ. Tokyo **20** (2013), no. 3, 349–374. MR3156986

- [51] Gert Kjaergård Pedersen, *Measure theory for  $C^*$  algebras*, Math. Scand. **19** (1966), 131–145. MR212582
- [52] Francesc Perera and Andrew S. Toms, *Recasting the Elliott conjecture*, Math. Ann. **338** (2007), no. 3, 669–702. MR2317934
- [53] N. Christopher Phillips, *A classification theorem for nuclear purely infinite simple  $C^*$ -algebras*, Doc. Math. **5** (2000), 49–114. MR1745197
- [54] Mihai Pimsner and Dan Voiculescu, *Imbedding the irrational rotation  $C^*$ -algebra into an AF-algebra*, J. Operator Theory **4** (1980), no. 2, 201–210. MR595412
- [55] Iain Raeburn and Dana P. Williams, *Morita equivalence and continuous-trace  $C^*$ -algebras*, Mathematical Surveys and Monographs, vol. 60, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 1998. MR1634408
- [56] Marc A. Rieffel, *Dimension and stable rank in the  $K$ -theory of  $C^*$ -algebras*, Proc. London Math. Soc. (3) **46** (1983), no. 2, 301–333. MR693043
- [57] Leonel Robert, *Classification of inductive limits of 1-dimensional NCCW complexes*, Adv. Math. **231** (2012), no. 5, 2802–2836. MR2970466
- [58] ———, *The cone of functionals on the Cuntz semigroup*, Math. Scand. **113** (2013), no. 2, 161–186. MR3145179
- [59] Leonel Robert and Luis Santiago, *Classification of  $C^*$ -homomorphisms from  $C_0(0, 1]$  to a  $C^*$ -algebra*, J. Funct. Anal. **258** (2010), no. 3, 869–892. MR2558180
- [60] Mikael Rørdam, *The stable and the real rank of  $\mathcal{Z}$ -absorbing  $C^*$ -algebras*, Internat. J. Math. **15** (2004), no. 10, 1065–1084. MR2106263
- [61] Mikael Rørdam and Erling Størmer, *Classification of nuclear  $C^*$ -algebras. Entropy in operator algebras*, Encyclopaedia of Mathematical Sciences, vol. 126, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2002. Operator Algebras and Non-commutative Geometry, 7. MR1878881
- [62] Yasuhiko Sato, Stuart White, and Wilhelm Winter, *Nuclear dimension and  $\mathcal{Z}$ -stability*, Invent. Math. **202** (2015), no. 2, 893–921. MR3418247
- [63] Christopher Schafhauser, *A new proof of the Tikuisis-White-Winter theorem*, J. Reine Angew. Math. **759** (2020), 291–304. MR4058182
- [64] ———, *Subalgebras of simple AF-algebras*, Ann. of Math. (2) **192** (2020), no. 2, 309–352. MR4151079

- [65] Hannes Thiel, *Ranks of operators in simple  $C^*$ -algebras with stable rank one*, Comm. Math. Phys. **377** (2020), no. 1, 37–76. MR4107924
- [66] Aaron Tikuisis, Stuart White, and Wilhelm Winter, *Quasidiagonality of nuclear  $C^*$ -algebras*, Ann. of Math. (2) **185** (2017), no. 1, 229–284. MR3583354
- [67] Andrew S. Toms and Wilhelm Winter, *Strongly self-absorbing  $C^*$ -algebras*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **359** (2007), no. 8, 3999–4029. MR2302521
- [68] Eduard Vilalta, *The Cuntz semigroup of unital commutative AI-algebras*, preprint arXiv:2104.08165 (2021).
- [69] Simon Wassermann, *Tensor products of free-group  $C^*$ -algebras*, Bull. London Math. Soc. **22** (1990), no. 4, 375–380. MR1058315
- [70] Wilhelm Winter and Joachim Zacharias, *Completely positive maps of order zero*, Münster J. Math. **2** (2009), 311–324. MR2545617
- [71] ———, *The nuclear dimension of  $C^*$ -algebras*, Adv. Math. **224** (2010), no. 2, 461–498. MR2609012

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF TORONTO,  
40 ST. GEORGE STREET, TORONTO, ON, CANADA M5S 2E4

*E-mail addresses:* `elliott@math.toronto.edu`, `jim@math.toronto.edu`